AIVC 10913

./·

 \bullet

Smoke Control **Basics**

Recorded February 19, 1996

Length: 30:35

The views of the speakers do not purport to reflect the position of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, nor does their presentation imply any recommendation or endorsement, thereof by the Society. This videotape was recorded at an ASHRAE seminar held in conjunction with the Society's ¹⁹⁹⁶ Annual Meeting in Atlanta, Georgia.

This material has not been technically reviewed by ASHRAE and is distributed for discussion purposes only. ASHRAE has notinvestigated, and ASHRAE expressly disclaims any duty to investigate, any product, service, process, procedure, design, or the like that may be described herein. The appearance of any technical data or editoriaJ material in this publication does not constitute endorsement, warranty, or guaranty by ASHRAE of any product, service, process, procedure, design, or the like. ASH RAE does not warrant that the information in this publication is free of errors, and ASHRAE does not necessarily agree with any statement or opinion in this publication. The entire risk of the use of any information in this publication is assumed by the user.

> ©1996 American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. 1791 Tullie Circle, NE, Atlanta, GA 30329

Fundamentals of Smoke Control

February 1996

John H. Klote National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD

Acceptance Testing - The Major Smoke Control Problem?

Symptom Not the Problem

Some of the Problems:

Lack of Planning

r

Overly Complicated System

Unrealistic Expectations

Mechanisms of Smoke Management

Compartmentation

Dilution

Air Flow

Pressurization

Buoyancy

Pressurization

AP Across a Barrier ASHRAE Smoke Manual & NFPA 92A

Buoyancy

Malls, Atria, Large Spaces

Some Smoke Control Systems

Stairwell Pressurization

Elevator Pressurization

Zoned Smoke Control

Atrium Exhaust (Really Smoke Management)

Stairwell Pressurization

Pressure Difference

Stairwell Pressurization

Pressurization Range:

Min ΔP - Control Smoke Max ΔP - Door Opening

Major Problem: ΔP Fluctuations due to Open Doors

Several System Approaches (ASHRAE Manual)

Computer Analysis ASCOS (ASHRAE Manual) CONTAM (George Walton)

Elevator Smoke Control

NIST/NRCC Joint Project:

Smoke Control for Fire Evacuation of the Disabled (U.S. Part Funded by V A)

Conceptual Studies of Elevator Smoke Control Systems

Full-Scale Fire Experiments at NRCC's 10 Story Fire Research Tower

Analysis and Experiments of Elevator Piston **Effect**

Design Information: ASHRAE Manual

Zoned Smoke Control

Atrium Smoke Control

Atrium Smoke Control

Summary

Mechanisms of Smoke Management Compartmentation Dilution Air Flow Pressurization Buoyancy

Smoke Control Systems Stairwell Pressurization Elevator Pressurization Zoned Smoke Control Atrium Exhaust (Really Smoke Management)

Pressure Drop Graph

Fire Damper
Specification Checklist (Cont.)

Duct Leakage nstallation Accessibility Damper Type

**Smoke Damper
Specification Checklist**

- NFPA 92A&B/UL555S Classified
- **Leakage Classification**
- Elevated Temperature Rating
- **Operational Airflow Rating**
- **Pressure Loss**
- n Installation
- Accessibility
- **Duct Leakage**
- **Actuator**

Leakage Classification

Smoke dampers shall be UL labeled with a Class I leakage rating. (maximum leakage of 4 cfm per sq. ft. $@1$ in. w.g. and 8 cfm per sq. ft. $@4$ in. w.g.)

 ω =

Smoke dampers shall be UL labeled with a Class II leakage rating (maximum leakage of 10 cfm per sq. ft. $@$ 1 in. w.g. and 20 cfm per sq. ft. $@$ 4 in. w.g.) ω \approx

Smoke dampers shall be UL labeled with a Class III leakage rating (maximum leakage of 40 cfm per sq. ft. @ 1 in. w.g. and 80 cfm per sq. ft. @ 4 in. w.g.)

Elevated Temperature Rating

Smoke Dampers dampers shall be UL labeled with a Temperature Degradation/Cycling rating of (usually 250 °F, 350 °F or 450 °F).

Closure Temperature

Each fire damper shall be equipped with a heat responsive device (e.g. fusible link) which has been tested and approved for use with the damper assembly in accordance with UL Standard 555. The heat responsive device shall have a temperature rating of (USUally 165°F or 212°F)

Fire dampers shall be UL labeled for use in static systems.

Fire dampers shall be UL labeled for use in dynamic systems.

System Rating (Cont.)

The dynamic closure rating for each damper shall be at least 110% of the maximum design airflow at its installed location. The dynamic closure pressure rating for each damper shall be a minimum of 8 in. w.g. Ratings shall be for airflow in either direction through the damper.

Ducted Installation

Airflow Up

Horizontal Damper Airflow Down

Pressure Loss

Manufacturer's submittal information shall include a graphical representation of duct velocity vs. pressure drop across the damper. The graphical representation shall include data for a 12x12 damper, 24x24 damper, and 36x36 damper tested in accordance with the latest edition of **AMCA Standard 500 and shall include** data for test figures, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.5.

Fire Damper
Specification Checklist

NFPA 90A/UL555 Classified **Hourly Fire Rating** System Rating **Closure Temperature Pressure Loss** Duct Leakage **n**stallation **Accessibility** Damper Type

NFPA 90A/UL555 Classified

All fire dampers shall meet the requirements of NFPA 90A and shall be tested, rated and labeled in accordance with the latest edition of **UL Standard 555. The contractor** shall submit the manufacturer's data sheets and installation instructions detailing compliance with these specifications.

Hourly Fire Rating

Fire dampers shall be UL labeled with a 1-1/2 hour fire rating.

Fire dampers shall be UL labeled with a 3 hour fire rating.

Actuators shall be factory installed. Manufacturer's submittal data shall indicate actuator space requirements around the damper.

Fire Damper Specification Checklist Smoke Damper Specification **Checklist Installation Guidelines**

Damper Application Issues

Smoke Control Systems Damper Components (1)

- 1. PRESSURIZATION In order of pressure gradients (from negative fire zone):
	- a. Occupied zones (lowest positive (+) pressure)
	- b. "Sandwich" areas around fire zone (+),
	- c. Escape routes (corridors (++), vestibules (+++), stairwells (++++) -- higher as you go out),
	- c. "Sandwich'' areas around fire zones (+), and
	- d. Refuge areas, disabled persons safety spaces; fire fighters control and staging areas... $etc..(++++)$

Smoke Control Systems Damper Components (2)

2. Low temperature PURGING • while components such as dampers, fans, and detectors still work (at least up to 450°F); in zones that received smoke input in the incipeint stages of the fire prior to the establishment of pressurization differentials •• or as a stand alone mode; e.g., for atria smoke control +.

Smoke Control Systems Damper Components (3)

3. EXHAUST - De-pressurizing the fire zone is as critical as lowering fire temperatures in guaranteeing the success of the "pressure sandwich" and escape route pressurization systems. Some smoke may also be removed. (See ASHRAE Paper No. 3427 (RP559).

In transitioning from 'active' smoke control modes the return or exhaust damper(s) must never close without causing or having the fire room supply dampers closure also -· smoke spread would result.

Smoke Control Systems Damper Components (4)

4. CONTAINMENT - The last stand where the smoke control system damper components that are in the fire barriers around the fire zones are closed to maintain barrier (•passive') integrity, just before their operating ratings (temperature limits) are reached.

This is also a critical fire control (barrier) mode. ('Passive') positive fire pressure is contained by the now passive (no mechanical) fire energy.

"'

Smoke Control Systems Damper Components (5)

5. Smoke PURGING after the fire on a components survivability basis. This is a very slow smoke clearing operation that would have little relativity to pressure dynamics dampers would be full open and fans to 100%.

Smoke Control Systems Damper Components (6)

N.B. No fire room return or exhaust damper should close (on elevated temperature) without signaling closure of the fire room supply damper •• or smoke would be spread by positive pressure in the fire room, the initial fire damper operation concept is a critically- wrong action as the return/exhaust damper is certianly going to close first if it is fitted with a fire damper heat responsive device.

"

Smoke Control Systems Damper Components (8)

GENERAL DAMPER OPERATING TEMPERATURES PER SYSTEMS MODES (& STATUS)

Ambient (active) Low Temp. (Ambient - 450°F)(active) Medium Temp. (450°F - 850°F) High Temp. (850°F - 2000°F) Pressurization& Purge Exhaust & Pressurization (Passive) Smoke (& Fire) Containment Fire Containment (& Smoke Per ISO)

The damper designer/Manufacturers goal would be that one combination air/smoke/fire damper design would handle all the above performance parameters in all of the systems modes of operation.

Smoke Control Systems Damper Components (7)

'<

- General Damper Design Criteria as to Smoke Systems Requirements:
	- A) Ambient 450°F 'active' smoke system modes operation/actuation (fans, & etc., on) cycling capability.
	- B) 450°F 850°F 'passive' smoke & fire containment.
	- C) To 2000°F fire barrier (tighter seals to meet ISO (lnternaltional) Standards).
	- D) 8" W.G. (ambient) systems supply pressures & 4" systems return pressures cycling & leakage tests.
	- E) (Pressurization) leakage rates (ambient) of 170 CFM/FT² supplies, and 120 CFM/FT² returns --Class IV.
	- F) 32" W.G. transient pressure closure (rapid) possiblity -- or 'spike' precluded by 3 second minimum closure.
	- G) 2000-5000 FPM system ambient flows.
	- H) 3000-7000 FPM heat expanded flows.
	- I) .5" W.G. sprinklered & unsprinklered fire room ('passive') pressure.
	- J) 28 CFM/FT², Class III 'passive' leakage.
	- K) 1/16"/FT at full fire heated blade expansion while maintaining leakage rated performances to 'active' and passive requirements.
	- L) 15 second closure and 90 second opening cycle time.
	- M) 25 LB.IN./FT² operating torque.
	- N) G90 corrosion resistance (or other specific atmosphere) exposure & cycling.

Figure 6 Temperature profiles at north thermocouple tree in the one-story test room for different spray application rates, for ventilation rate of 1,000 cfm $(0.42 \text{ m}^3/\text{s})$.

Temperature profiles at north thermocouple tree in the one-story test room for different spray application rates, Figure 7 for ventilation rate of 1,500 cfm $(0.63 \text{ m}^3/\text{s})$.

Figure 8 Typical pressure profiles in the one-story test room for a sprinklered and a nonsprinklered. fire.

TABLE 1 SMOKE SYSTEMS FUNCTIONS WITH DAMPER POSITIONS AND REQUIRMENTS

VIS-A-VIS Amb. - 450° Active, 450°-850° Passive (to 2000° Fire under ISO) (Needs full development by ASHRAE TG5.SMC)

NOTES:

(1) Some codes require that supply air continues to flow into the fire zone, for cooling, during the exhaust mode (Max, 50% of exhaust ambient volume capabilities essential to maintain negative pressure in the fire zone).

(2) Venting is a design alternate covered by NFPA 92A & 204M.

(3) The test leakage rates based on ambient Class III 1" @ 40CFMFT" as converted from (elevated) temperature & 5 pressure requirements and Class IV (or greater for ambient pressurization) 8" & 4" supply and return requirements).

(4) The main leakage requirement is when pressurization is lost and the damper must act as part of the physical baarrier system around the fire zone for contaiment. This function could be called 'passive' (leakage) smoke control; and leakage tested to 450°F (min), (0.2° W.G. unsprinklered and .03 sprinklered fire room conditions) @ 0.5° W.G.

(5) It is probable that, with most sprinklers & fire fighter suppression the only damper position change will be automatic closure for containment from the initial fire and smoke locations damper settings.

(6) Closure for containment must have synchronous closure of supply with return damper while pressurization is 'active' to avoid accidental smoke spread,

(7) This re-open function is on an assumed component survivability basis.

(8) Translent pressures may be eliminated as a problem by requiring a minimum closure time of 3 seconds.

(9) Damper operating times need development as to system modes need with economics considered.

Smoke incidental issue till 1970's Greater contribution to fire loss, injuries & fatalities

History

- Open stairs & shafts
- Combustible interior finish
- Insufficient exits
	- + Number
	- $+ Size$

 λ

Development of codes

Save the city-prevent conflagration

Save the building

Save the floor

Save the life

Smoke not an issue till set goal to save the floor

Many still advocate this as the most effective strategy

3

********* ********* ********

N 2380040

LL5 had many features in addition to smoke control. Sprinklers or compartmentation

or

Pressurized stair

-- s

No smokeshaft, pressurized stair or compartmentation w/AS

Were the Results NYC LL5 - 1973 + Smoke control concepts proliferated in codes

 \blacksquare

mm

- Stair pressurization
- $-Malls$
- Atria

Shutdown HVAC ← Vent thru panels or windows or **shafts**

+ Stair pressurization - LL5 tests

Smoke Control

Concepts

- + Pressure sandwich
- + Exhaust air change rate

ecent Developments

+ NFPA Smoke Management Systems **Committee**

- NFPA 92A Recommended Practice for Smoke-Control Systems
- NFPA 928 Guide for Smoke management Systems in Malls, Atria, and Large Areas
- + ASHRAEISFPE Design of Smoke Management Systems

Smoke management in 3 general areas

Sodes

- Stair pressurization/smokeproof towers
- Hi-Rise
- Large areas $+$ Malls
	- + Stadia
	- + Atria

+ Scientific basis + Research

+ Equipment & systems guidelines &standards

AE's Role

+ Assist NFPA

+ Assist Model Code organizations

er Effect on Toxicity Combustion products generally allow egress - caution: shielded fires + Temperature below dangerous value + Obscuration is great - visibility potential danger to egress

ALS REDUCÈ DEATH AND INJURY FROM SMOKE +REDUCE PROPERTY DAMAGE FROM SMOKE +AID FIRE FIGHTERS

FPROTECT EGRESS PATHS FOR SUFFICIENT TIME TO PERMIT SAFE **EVACUATION**

I

+CONFINE SMOKE TO AREA OF ORIGIN

ROACHES

+MAINTAIN SMOKE LAYER AT A HEIGHT TO PERMIT FIRE FIGHTERS ACCESS TO REACH SEAT OF THE FIRE

- + ASHRAE Design of Smoke Management Systems
- + NFPA Fire Protection Handbook 17th Ed.
- + SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering - 2nd Ed.

daptation of NFPA 92B $Z = 0.67H - 0.28H \ln \left[\frac{1}{Q} \right]^{1/3} H^{2/3}$

 \boldsymbol{A}

Research & improved SW & HW Beware - Fire is unpredictable.

- Calculations are only as good as the underlying research.

Fondusion

- Experience & judgement still needed

지수 말 안 한다 $\label{eq:11} \mathcal{Z} \circ H = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{int}}}$ $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, \mathbf{a}_i $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ V.

 $\overline{\mathcal{N}_{\text{max}}}\left(\overline{V}\right) = \mathcal{N}_{\text{max}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\text{max}}}}\right)$ $\label{eq:1} \mathbb{E} \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{R}^2 & \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \mathbb{R}^2 & \mathbb{R}^2 \end{array} \right]$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right]$