

Indoor Air Quality Update ^{# 5456}

A Guide to the Practical Control of Indoor Air Problems, from **Cutter Information Corp.**

37 Broadway, Arlington, MA 02174-5539 U.S.A. (617) 648-8700 TLX: 650 100 9891 FAX: (617) 648-8707

The 1991 International Symposium on Radon and Radon Reduction Technology

**Volume VII:
State Programs and Policies
Relating to Radon**

Following is the complete table of contents for the *1991 International Symposium on Radon and Radon Reduction Technology*. Each session is available as a separate volume from Cutter Information Corp. The cost per volume is \$35 (\$40 outside the US); or \$25 (\$30 outside the US) for subscribers to the *Indoor Air Quality Update*[™] or the *Energy Design Update*[®] newsletters (ISSN 1040-5313 and ISSN 0741-3629, respectively).

To order additional volumes, call Kara Lovering at (617) 648-5125, or Fax: (617) 648-8707.

Or mail request to:
Cutter Information Corp.
37 Broadway
Arlington, MA 02174-5539, USA

**The 1991 International Symposium on Radon
and Radon Reduction Technology**

**Volume VII
State Programs and Policies Relating to Radon**

Opening Presentation

Comparative Dosimetry of Radon in Mines and Homes: An Overview of the NAS Report
Jonathon M. Samet, University of New Mexico

Session VII: State Programs and Policies Relating to Radon

**Washington State's Innovative Grant: Community Support Radon Action Team
for Schools**

Patricia A. McLachlan, Department of Health, Washington

Kentucky Innovative Grant: Radon in Schools' Telecommunication Project

M. Jeana Phelps, Kentucky Cabinet for Human Resources and Carolyn
Rude-Parkins, University of Louisville

**Regulation of Radon Professionals by States: The Connecticut Experience and
Policy Issues**

Alan J. Siniscalchi, Zygmunt F. Dembek, Nicholas Macelletti, Laurie Gokey,
and Paul Schur, Connecticut Department of Health Services; Susan Nichols,
Connecticut Department of Consumer Protection; and Jessie Stratton, State
Representative, Connecticut General Assembly

New Jersey Radon Program, 1991

Jill A. Lapoti, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

Quality Assurance - The Key to Successful Radon Programs in the 1990s — Posters

Raymond H. Johnson, Jr., Key Technology, Inc.

Radon in Illinois: A Status Report — Posters

Richard Allen and Melanie Hamel-Casparly, Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety

The 1991 International Symposium on Radon and Radon Reduction Technology

Table of Contents

Opening Presentation

Comparative Dosimetry of Radon in Mines and Homes: An Overview of the NAS Report
Jonathon M. Samet, University of New Mexico

Session I: Government Programs and Policies Relating to Radon

The Need for a Coordinated International Assessment of the Radon Problem
Friedrich Steinhäusler, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria

The U.S. DOE Radon Research Program: A Different Viewpoint
Susan L. Rose, Office of Energy Research, U.S. DOE

EPA Radon Policy and Its Effects on the Radon Industry — Posters
David Saum, INFILTEC

Session II: Radon-Related Health Studies

Lung Cancer in Rats Exposed to Radon/Radon Progeny
F.T. Cross and G.E. Dagle, Pacific Northwest Laboratory

Startling Radon Risk Comparisons
JoAnne D. Martin, DMA-RADTECH, Inc.

Estimating Radon Levels from Polonium-210 in Glass
H. Vanmarcke, Nuclear Research Center, Belgium; J. Cornelis, C. Landsheere,
and A. Poffijn, State University of Gent, Belgium

**Expanded and Upgraded Tests of the Linear-No Threshold Theory for
Radon-Induced Lung Cancer**
Bernard L. Cohen, University of Pittsburgh

American Lung Association's Radon Public Information Program — Panel
Leyla Erk McCurdy, American Lung Association

Developing a Community Radon Outreach Program: A Model for Statewide Implementation — Panel

M. Jeana Phelps, Kentucky Cabinet for Human Resources

Occupational Safety During Radon Mitigation: Field Experience and Survey Monitoring Results — Posters

Jean-Claude F. Dehmel, S. Cohen & Associates; Peter Nowlan, R.F. Simon Company; Eugene Fisher, U.S. EPA, Office of Radiation Programs

The Effect of Passive Cigarette Smoke on Working Level Exposures in Homes — Posters

Raymond H. Johnson, Jr. and Randolph S. Kline, Key Technology, Inc.; Eric Geiger and Augustine Rosario, Jr., Radon QC

Session III: Measurement Methods

Current Status of Glass as a Retrospective Radon Monitor

Richard Lively, MN Geological Survey, and Daniel Steck, St. John's University

Soil Gas Measurement Technologies

Harry E. Rector, GEOMET Technologies, Inc.

Results from a Pilot Study to Compare Residential Radon Concentrations with Occupant Exposures Using Personal Monitoring

B.R. Litt, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection; J.M. Waldman, UMDNJ; and N.H. Harley and P. Chittaporn, New York University Medical Center

Rapid Determination of the Radon Profile in a Structure by Measuring Ions in the Ambient Atmosphere

W.G. Buckman and H.B. Steen III, Western Kentucky University

Intercomparison of Activity Size Distribution Measurements with Manual and Automated Diffusion Batteries — Field Test

P.K. Hopke and P. Wasiolek, Clarkson University; E.O. Knutson, K.W. Tu, and C. Gogolak, U.S. DOE; A. Cavallo and K. Gadsby, Princeton University; and D. Van Cleef, NAREL

The Use of Indoor Radon Measurements and Geological Data in Assessing the Radon Risk of Soil and Rock in Construction Sites in Tampere

Anne Voutilainen and Ilona Mäkeläinen, Finnish Centre for Radiation and Nuclear Safety

State Property Transfer Laws Now Include Radon Gas Disclosure — Panel

Michael A. Nardi, The Nardi Group

How to Determine if Radon Measurement Firms are Providing Accurate Readings — Panel

Herbert C. Roy and Mohammed Rahman, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

Exploring Software Device Management Routines that Ensure the Overall Quality of Continuous Working Level and Continuous Radon Monitor Performance in a Field Environment — Panel

Richard Tucker, Gemini Research, and Rick Holland, Radonics, Inc.

Use of Grab Samples as a Quality Assurance Tool to Enhance Overall Radon Measurement Accuracy and Reproducibility — Panel

Brian Fimian, Radonics, Inc. and Richard Tucker, Gemini Research

Predicting Long-Term Indoor Radon Concentrations from Short-Term Measurements: Evaluation of a Method Involving Temperature Correction — Panel

T. Agami Reddy, A. Cavallo, K. Gadsby, and R. Socolow, Princeton University

Correlation Between Short- and Long-Term Indoor Radon Concentrations in Florida Houses — Panel

Susan McDonough and Ashley Williamson, Southern Research Institute; David C. Sanchez, U.S. EPA, Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory

Relationship Between Two-Day Screening Measurements of ^{222}Rn and Annual Living Area Averages in Basement and Nonbasement Houses — Panel

S.B. White, N.F. Rodman, and B.V. Alexander, Research Triangle Institute; J. Phillips and F. Marcinowski, U.S. EPA, Office of Radiation Programs

Characterization of Structures Using Simultaneous Single Source Continuous Working Level and Continuous Radon Gas Measurements — Posters

Brian Fimian and John E. McGreevy, Radonics, Inc.

Radon and Water Vapor Co-Adsorption on Solid Adsorbents — Posters

Neguib M. Hassan, Tushar K. Ghosh, Sudarshan K. Loyalka, and Anthony L. Hines, University of Missouri-Columbia; and Davor Novosel, Gas Research Institute

Calibration of Modified Electret Ion Chamber for Passive Measurement of Radon-222 (Thoron) in Air — Posters

P. Kotrappa and J.C. Dempsey, Rad Elec, Inc.

**Unit Ventilator Operation and Radon Concentrations in a Pennsylvania School —
Posters**

William P. Brodhead, WPB Enterprises and Norm Grant, Quoin Partnership,
Architects and Engineers

Session IV: Radon Reduction Methods

**Causes of Elevated Post-Mitigation Radon Concentrations in Basement Houses
Having Extremely High Pre-Mitigation Levels**

D. Bruce Henschel, AEERL; Arthur G. Scott, AMERICAN ATCON, Inc.

**A Measurement and Visual Inspection Critique to Evaluate the Quality of Sub-Slab
Ventilation Systems**

Richard W. Tucker, Gemini Research, Inc.; Keith S. Fimian, Radonics, Inc.

Pressure Field Extension Using a Pressure Washer

William P. Brodhead, WPB Enterprises

**A Variable and Discontinuous Subslab Ventilation System and Its Impact on
R_n Mitigation**

Willy V. Abeele, New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division

Natural Basement Ventilation as a Radon Mitigation Technique

A. Cavallo, K. Gadsby, and T.A. Reddy, Princeton University

Radon Mitigation Failure Modes — Posters

William M. Yeager, Research Triangle Institute; D. Bruce Harris, AEERL;
Terry Brennan and Mike Clarkin, Camroden Associates

**Mitigation by Sub-Slab Depressurization Under Structures Founded on Relatively
Impermeable Sand — Posters**

Donald A. Crawshaw and Geoffrey K. Crawshaw, Pelican Environmental Corporation

**A Laboratory Test of the Effects of Various Rain Caps on Sub-Slab
Depressurization Systems — Posters**

Mike Clarkin, Terry Brennan, and David Fazikas, Camroden Associates

**Analysis of the Performance of a Radon Mitigation System Based on Charcoal Beds —
Posters**

P. Wasiolek, N. Montassier, P.K. Hopke, Clarkson University; R. Abrams,
RAd Systems, Inc.

Control of Radon Releases In Indoor Commercial Water Treatment — Posters
D. Bruce Harris and A.B. Craig, AEERL

Session V: Radon Entry Dynamics

A Modeling Examination of Parameters Affecting Radon and Soil Gas Entry into Florida-Style Slab-on-Grade Houses

R.G. Sextro, K.L. Revzan, and W.J. Fisk, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

Effect of Winds in Reducing Sub-Slab Radon Concentrations Under Houses Laid Over Gravel Beds

P.C. Owczarski, D.J. Holford, K.W. Burk, H.D. Freeman, and G.W. Gee,
Pacific Northwest Laboratory

Radon Entry Into Dwellings Through Concrete Floors

K.K. Nielson and V.C. Rogers, Rogers and Associates Engineering Corporation

Radon Dynamics in Swedish Dwellings: A Status Report

Lynn M. Hubbard, Nils Hagberg, Anita Enflo, and Gun Astri Swedjemark,
Swedish Radiation Protection Institute

Soil Gas and Radon Entry Potentials for Slab-on-Grade Houses

Bradley H. Turk, New Mexico; David Grumm, Yanxia Li, and Stephen D. Schery,
New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology; D. Bruce Henschel, AEERL

Direct Measurement of the Dependence of Radon Flux Through Structure Boundaries on Differential Pressure

D.T. Kendrick and G. Harold Langner, Jr., U.S. DOE/Chem-Nuclear Geotech, Inc.

Radon Resistance Under Pressure

William F. McKelvey, Versar, Inc.; Jay W. Davis, Versar A/E, Inc.

A Simple Model for Describing Radon Migration and Entry into Houses — Posters

Ronald B. Mosley, AEERL

Effects of Humidity and Rainfall on Radon Levels in a Residential Dwelling — Posters

Albert Montague and William E. Belanger, U.S. EPA; Francis J. Haughey,
Rutgers University

Session VI: Radon Surveys

Factors Associated with Home Radon Concentrations in Illinois

Thomas J. Bierma and Jennifer O'Neill, Illinois State University

Radon in Switzerland

H. Surbeck and H. Völkle, Physics Institute, University Pérolles; W. Zeller, Federal Office of Public Health, Switzerland

A Cross-Sectional Survey of Indoor Radon Concentrations in 966 Housing Units at the Canadian Forces Base in Winnipeg, Manitoba

D.A. Figley and J.T. Makohon, Saskatchewan Research Council

Radon Studies in British Columbia, Canada

D.R. Morley and B.G. Phillips, Ministry of Health; M.M. Ghomshei, Orchard Geothermal Inc.; C. Van Netten, The University of British Columbia

The State of Maine Schools Radon Project: Results

L. Grodzins, NITON Corporation; T. Bradstreet, Division of Safety and Environmental Services, Maine; E. Moreau, Department of Human Services, Maine

The Effect of Subslab Aggregate Size on Pressure Field Extension

K.J. Gadsby, T. Agami Reddy, D.F. Anderson, and R. Gafgen, Princeton University; Alfred B. Craig, U.S. EPA, Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory

A Radiological Study of the Greek Radon Spas

P. Kritidis, Institute of Nuclear Technology - Radiation Protection

Seasonal Variation in Two-Day Screening Measurements of ^{222}Rn — Posters

Nat F. Rodman, Barbara V. Alexander, and S.B. White, Research Triangle Institute; Jeffrey Phillips and Frank Marcinowski, U.S. EPA, Office of Radiations Programs

The State of Maine School Radon Project: Protocols and Procedures of the Testing Program — Posters

Lee Grodzins and Ethel G. Romm, NITON Corporation; Henry E. Warren, Bureau of Public Improvement, Maine

Results of the Nationwide Screening for Radon in DOE Buildings — Posters

Mark D. Pearson, D.T. Kendrick, and G.H. Langner, Jr., U.S. DOE/Chem-Nuclear Geotech, Inc.

Session VII: State Programs and Policies Relating to Radon

Washington State's Innovative Grant: Community Support Radon Action Team for Schools

Patricia A. McLachlan, Department of Health, Washington

Kentucky Innovative Grant: Radon in Schools' Telecommunication Project

M. Jeana Phelps, Kentucky Cabinet for Human Resources and Carolyn Rude-Parkins, University of Louisville

Regulation of Radon Professionals by States: The Connecticut Experience and Policy Issues

Alan J. Siniscalchi, Zygmunt F. Dembek, Nicholas Macelletti, Laurie Gokey, and Paul Schur, Connecticut Department of Health Services; Susan Nichols, Connecticut Department of Consumer Protection; and Jessie Stratton, State Representative, Connecticut General Assembly

New Jersey Radon Program, 1991

Jill A. Lapoti, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

Quality Assurance - The Key to Successful Radon Programs in the 1990s — Posters

Raymond H. Johnson, Jr., Key Technology, Inc.

Radon in Illinois: A Status Report — Posters

Richard Allen and Melanie Hamel-Casparly, Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety

Session VIII: Radon Prevention in New Construction

A Comparison of Indoor Radon Concentrations Between Preconstruction and Post-Construction Mitigated Single Family Dwellings

James F. Burkhart, University of Colorado at Colorado Springs; Douglas L. Kladder, Residential Service Network, Inc.

Radon Reduction in New Construction: Double-Barrier Approach

C. Kunz, New York State Department of Health

Radon Control - Towards a Systems Approach

R.M. Nuess and R.J. Prill, Washington State Energy Office

Mini Fan for SSD Radon Mitigation in New Construction

David Saum, Infiltec

Building Radon Mitigation into Inaccessible Crawlspace New Residential Construction
D. Bruce Harris and A.B. Craig, AEERL and Jerry Haynes, Hunt Building Corporation

The Effect of Subslab Aggregate Size on Pressure Field Extension
K.J. Gadsby, T. Agami Reddy, D.F. Anderson, and R. Gafgen, Princeton University; A.B. Craig, AEERL

Radon Prevention in Residential New Construction: Passive Designs That Work — Posters
C. Martin Grisham, National Radon Consulting Group

Preliminary Results of HVAC System Modifications to Control Indoor Radon Concentrations — Posters
Terry Brennan and Michael Clarkin, Camroden Associates; Timothy M. Dyess, AEERL; William Brodhead, Buffalo Homes

Correlation of Soil Radon Availability Number with Indoor Radon and Geology in Virginia and Maryland — Posters
Stephen T. Hall, Radon Control Professionals, Inc.

Session IX: Radon Occurrence in the Natural Environment

Combining Mitigation and Geology: Indoor Radon Reduction by Accessing the Source
Stephen T. Hall, Radon Control Professionals, Inc.

Technological Enhancement of Radon Daughter Exposures Due to Non-Nuclear Energy Activities
J. Kovac, D. Cesar, and A. Bauman, University of Zagreb, Yugoslavia

A Site Study of Soil Characteristics and Soil Gas Radon
Richard Lively, Minnesota Geological Survey and Daniel Steck, St. John's University

Geological Parameters in Radon Risk Assessment - A Case History of Deliberate Exploration
Donald Carlisle and Haydar Azzouz, University of California at Los Angeles

Geologic Evaluation of Radon Availability in New Mexico: A Progress Report — Posters
Virginia T. McLemore and John W. Hawley, New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources; and Ralph A. Manchego, New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division

Paleozoic Granites in the Southeastern United States as Sources of Indoor Radon — Posters

Stephen T. Hall, Radon Control Professionals, Inc.

Comparison of Long-Term Radon Detectors and Their Correlations with Bedrock Sources and Fracturing — Posters

Darioush T. Ghahremani, Radon Survey Systems, Inc.

Geologic Assessment of Radon-222 in McLennan County, Texas — Posters

Mary L. Podsednik, Law Engineering, Inc.

Radon Emanation from Fractal Surfaces — Posters

Thomas M. Semkow, Pravin P. Parekh, and Charles O. Kunz, New York State Department of Health and State University of New York at Albany; and Charles D. Schwenker, New York State Department of Health

Session X: Radon in Schools and Large Buildings

Extended Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning Diagnostics in Schools in Maine

Terry Brennan, Camroden Associates; Gene Fisher, U.S. EPA, Office of Radiation Programs; and William Turner, H. L. Turner Group

Mitigation Diagnostics: The Need for Understanding Both HVAC and Geologic Effects in Schools

Stephen T. Hall, Radon Control Professionals, Inc.

A Comparison of Radon Mitigation Options for Crawl Space School Buildings

Bobby E. Pyle, Southern Research Institute; Kelly W. Leovic, AEERL

HVAC System Complications and Controls for Radon Reduction in School Buildings

Kelly W. Leovic, D. Bruce Harris, and Timothy M. Dyess, AEERL; Bobby E. Pyle, Southern Research Institute; Tom Borak, Western Radon Regional Training Center; David W. Saum, Infiltec

Radon Diagnosis in a Large Commercial Office Building

David Saum, Infiltec

Design of Radon-Resistant and Easy-to-Mitigate New School Buildings

Alfred B. Craig, Kelly W. Leovic, and D. Bruce Harris, AEERL

Design and Application of Active Soil Depressurization (ASD) Systems in School Buildings — Posters

Kelly W. Leovic, A.B. Craig, and D. Bruce Harris, AEERL; Bobby E. Pyle, Southern Research Institute; Kenneth Webb, Bowling Green (KY) Public Schools

Radon in Large Buildings: Pre-Construction Soil Radon Surveys — Posters

Ralph A. Llewellyn, University of Central Florida

Radon Measurements in North Dakota Schools — Posters

Thomas H. Morth, Arlen L. Jacobson, James E. Killingbeck, Terry D. Lindsey, and Allen L. Johnson, North Dakota State Department of Health and Consolidated Laboratories

Major Renovation of Public Schools that Includes Radon Prevention: A Case Study of Approach, System Design, and Installation; and Problems Encountered — Posters

Thomas Meehan

The State of Maine School Radon Project: The Design Study — Posters

Henry E. Warren, Maine Bureau of Public Improvement and Ethel G. Romm, NITON Corporation

COMPARATIVE DOSIMETRY OF RADON
IN MINES AND HOMES: AN OVERVIEW
OF THE NAS REPORT

by: Jonathan M. Samet, M.D.
Department of Medicine,
and New Mexico Tumor Registry
University of New Mexico Medical Center
Albuquerque, NM 87131

ABSTRACT

The findings of the recent report by a National Academy of Sciences panel on radon dosimetry are reviewed. The committee was charged with comparing exposure-dose relations for the circumstances of exposures in mines and homes. The committee first obtained data on the various parameters included in dosimetric lung models and then selected values that it judged to be best supported by the available evidence. Dosimetric modeling was used to calculate the ratio of exposure to radon progeny to dose of alpha energy delivered to target cells for various scenarios. The committee's modeling shows that exposure to radon progeny in homes delivers a somewhat lower dose to target cells than exposure in mines; this pattern was found for infants, children, men, and women.

The work described in this paper was not funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and therefore the contents do not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency and no official endorsement should be inferred.

INTRODUCTION

Radon, an inert gas, is a naturally occurring decay product of radium-226, the fifth daughter of uranium-238. Radon decays with a half-life of 3.82 days into a series of solid, short-lived progeny; two of these progeny, polonium-218 and polonium-214, emit alpha particles. When radon progeny are inhaled and these alpha emissions occur within the lungs, the cells lining the airways may be injured and damage to the genetic material of the cells may lead to the development of cancer.

Radon has been linked to excess cases of lung cancer in underground miners since the early decades of the twentieth century. Epidemiologic evidence on radon and lung cancer, as well as other diseases is now available from about 20 different groups of underground miners (1,2). Many of these studies include information on the miners' exposure to radon progeny and provide estimates of the quantitative relation between exposure to progeny and lung cancer risk (2,3); the range of excess relative risk coefficients, describing the increment in risk per unit of exposure is remarkably narrow in view of the differing methodologies of these studies (2).

As information on air quality in indoor environments was collected during the last 20 years, it quickly became evident that radon is ubiquitous indoors and that concentrations vary widely and may be as high as levels in underground mines in some homes. The well-documented and causal association of radon with lung cancer in underground miners appropriately raised concern that radon exposure might also cause lung cancer in the general population. The risk of indoor radon has been primarily assessed by using risk assessment approaches that extend the risks found in the studies of miners to the general population. Risk models that can be used for this purpose have been developed by committees of the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) (4), the International Commission on Radiological Protection (5) (1987), and the National Academy of Sciences (Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR) IV Alpha Committee) (1).

Extrapolation of the lung cancer risks in underground miners to the general population is subject to uncertainties related to the differences between the physical environments of homes and mines, the circumstances and temporal patterns of exposure in the two environments, and potentially significant biological differences between miners and the general population (Table 1). A number of these factors may affect the relation between exposure to radon progeny and the dose of alpha-particle energy delivered to target cells in the tracheobronchial epithelium; these factors include the activity-aerosol size distribution of the progeny, the ventilation pattern of the exposed person, the morphometry of the lung, the pattern of deposition and the rate of clearance of deposited progeny, and the thickness of the mucous layer lining the airways.

The activity-aerosol size distribution refers to the physical size distribution of the particles containing the alpha activity. The term "unattached fraction" has historically been applied to progeny existing

models that it judged to be best supported by the available evidence. The committee then utilized a dosimetric model, developed in part by the Task Group of the International Commission for Radiological Protection, to compare exposure-dose relations for exposure to radon progeny in homes and in mines. While the report provides the exposure-dose figures, the committee expressed its principal findings as a ratio, termed K in the BEIR IV report (1). K, a unitless measure, represents the quotient of the dose of alpha energy delivered per unit of exposure in a home to the dose per unit exposure for a male miner exposed in a mine. If the K factor exceeds unity, the delivered dose per unit exposure is greater indoors whereas if it is less than unity, the delivered dose per unit exposure is less indoors.

Factors other than lung dosimetry of radon progeny also introduce uncertainty in extrapolating risks from the studies of underground miners to the general population. The committee briefly reviewed the evidence on cigarette smoking, tissue damage, age at exposure, sex, and exposure pattern. These sources of uncertainty were considered in a qualitative rather than a quantitative fashion.

THE COMMITTEE'S FINDINGS

The committee selected several different sets of exposure conditions in homes and in mines (Table 2,3). The mining environment includes the areas of active mining, the haulage drifts, and less active and dusty areas such as lunch rooms. In some analyses, the values for active mining and haulage ways were averaged to represent typical conditions. Separate microenvironments considered in the home included the living room and the bedroom. Parameters for the living room and the bedroom were averaged to represent a typical scenario for the home. The effects of cooking and cigarette smoking on radon progeny aerosol characteristics were also considered. While the contrast between the home and mining environments was somewhat variable across the scenarios, homes were characterized as having greater unattached fractions and smaller particles. Higher average minute volumes were assumed for the mining environment (Table 2,3).

The committee also examined uncertainties associated with other assumptions in the dosimetric model. Doses to basal and secretory cells in the tracheobronchial epithelium were calculated separately, because all types of cells with the potential to divide were considered to be potential progenitor cells for lung cancer. The committee also compared the consequences of considering: lobar and segmental bronchi rather than all bronchi as the target; radon progeny as insoluble or partially soluble in the epithelium; of breathing through the oral or nasal route exclusively; of varying the thickness of the mucus lining the epithelium and the rate of mucociliary clearance; and cellular hyperplasia leading to thickening or injury causing thinning of the epithelium.

Across the wide range of exposure conditions and exposed persons considered by the committee, most values of K were below unity (Table 4). For both secretory and basal cells, K values indicated lesser doses of alpha energy per unit exposure, comparing exposures of infants,

as ions, molecules, or small clusters; the "attached fraction" designates progeny attached to ambient particles (6). Using newer methods for characterizing activity-aerosol size distributions, the unattached fraction has been identified as ultrafine particles in the size range of 0.5 to 3.0 nm (6). Typically, mines have higher aerosol concentrations than homes and the unattached fraction would be expected to be higher in homes than in mines. Because of differing sources of particles in the two environments, aerosol size distributions could also plausibly differ between homes and mines.

The physical work involved in underground mining would be expected to increase the amount of air inhaled in comparison with the generally sedentary activities of time spent at home. The greater minute ventilation of miners would result in a higher proportion of the inhaled air passing through the oral route, in comparison with ventilation during typical activities in residences. The physical characteristics of the lungs of underground miners, almost all adult males, differ significantly from those of infants, children and thickness of the epithelial layer could also plausibly differ, comparing miners with the general population, because of the chronic irritation by dust and fumes in the mines.

Methods are available for characterizing the effects of these factors on the relation between exposure to radon progeny and the dose of alpha energy delivered to target cells in the respiratory tract. Using models of the respiratory tract, the dose to target cells in the respiratory epithelium can be estimated for the circumstances of exposure in the mining and indoor environments. One of the recommendations of the 1988 BEIR IV Report (1) was that "Further studies of dosimetric modeling in the indoor environment and in mines are necessary to determine the comparability of risks per WLM [working level month] in domestic environments and underground mines". The BEIR IV Report had included a qualitative assessment of the dosimetry of progeny in homes and in mines, but formal modeling was not carried out.

Consequently, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency asked the National Research Council to conduct a study addressing the comparative dosimetry of radon progeny in homes and in mines. This paper reviews the findings of the recently published report of the committee (Panel on Dosimetric Assumptions Affecting the Application of Radon Risk Estimates). The panel was constituted with the broad expertise, covering radon measurement and aerosol physics, dosimetry, lung biology, epidemiology, pathology, and risk assessment, needed for this task.

THE COMMITTEE'S APPROACH

To address the charge of undertaking further dosimetric modeling, the committee obtained data on the various parameters included in dosimetric lung models that contributed to uncertainty in assessing the risk of indoor radon. The committee not only reviewed the literature, but obtained recent and unpublished information from several investigators involved in relevant research. After completing this review, the committee selected values for parameters in dosimetric

children, men and women in homes with exposures of male miners underground. While the highest values of K were calculated for children, the values for children did not exceed unity, suggesting that children exposed to radon progeny are not at greater risk for lung cancer on a dosimetric basis.

The committee explored the sensitivity of the K factors to underlying assumptions in the dosimetric model. The general pattern of the findings was comparable for secretory and basal cells. The K factors remained below unity regardless of whether the radon progeny were assumed to be insoluble or partially soluble in the epithelium. The K factor was also not changed substantially with the assumption that lobar and segmental bronchi, rather than all bronchi, are the target. Assumptions regarding breathing route also had little impact. After the committee had completed its principal analysis, new data became available suggesting that recent higher values for nasal deposition reported by Cheng et al. (7) might be preferable to lower values from the 1969 report of George and Breslin (8); other new evidence suggested that a value of 0.15 μ m should be used for aerosol size in the haulage drifts. Inclusion of these two modifications of the committee's preferred parameter values in the dosimetric model reduced the values of K by about 20 percent.

The committee did not attempt to reach quantitative conclusions concerning sources of uncertainty not directly addressed by the dosimetric modeling. It noted the paucity of data on such factors as cigarette smoking, age at exposure and particularly the effect of exposure during childhood, and exposure pattern. The evidence on these factors received detailed review in the BEIR IV report (1) and the present committee did not reach any new conclusions on these sources of uncertainty. The committee also commented on the potential effects of the miners' exposures to dust and fumes while underground. Increased cell turnover associated with these exposures may have increased the risk of radon exposure for the miners.

SUMMARY

The Panel on Dosimetric Assumptions Affecting the Application of Radon Risk Estimates comprehensively reviewed the comparative dosimetry of radon progeny in homes and in mines. The committee's modeling shows that exposure to radon progeny in homes delivers a somewhat lower dose to target cells than exposure in mines; this pattern was found for infants, children, men, and women. This finding was not sensitive to specific underlying assumptions in the committee's modeling. Assuming that cancer risk is proportional to dose of alpha energy delivered by radon progeny, the committee's analyses suggests that direct extrapolation of risks from the mining to the home environment may overestimate the numbers of radon-caused cancers.

REFERENCES

1. National Research Council (NRC). 1988. Health Risks of Radon and Other Internally Deposited Alpha-Emitters. BEIR IV. Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
2. Samet, J.M. Radon and lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 81: 745-757, 1989.
3. Lubin, J.H. Models for the analysis of radon-exposed populations. Yale J Biol Med. 61: 195-214m 1988.
4. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP). 1984b. Evaluation of Occupational and Environmental Exposure to Radon and Radon Daughters in the United States. NCRP Report 78. Bethesda, MD: National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements.
5. International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). 1987. Lung Cancer Risk from Indoor Exposures to Radon Daughters. ICRP Publ. No. 50. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
6. National Research Council. Comparative dosimetry of radon in mines and homes. Panel on dosimetric assumptions affecting the application of radon risk estimates. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1991.
7. George, A.C. and Breslin, A.J. Deposition of radon daughters in humans exposed to uranium mine atmospheres. Health Phys. 17: 115-124, 1969.
8. Cheng, Y.S., Swift, D.L., Su, Y.F. and Yeh, H.C. Deposition of radon progeny in human head airways. In: Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute Annual Report 1988-89. Lovelace Biomedical and Environmental Research Institute, Albuquerque, NM, 1989. LMF-126.

TABLE 1. POTENTIALLY IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN EXPOSURE TO RADON IN THE MINING AND HOME ENVIRONMENTS*

Physical Factors

Aerosol characteristics: Greater concentrations in mines; differing size distributions

Attached/unattached fractions: Greater unattached fraction in homes

Equilibrium of radon/decay products: Highly variable in homes and mines

Activity Factors

Amount of ventilation: Probably greater for working miners than for persons indoors

Pattern of ventilation: Patterns of oral/nasal breathing not characterized, but mining possibly associated with greater oral breathing

Biological Factors

Age: Miners have been exposed during adulthood; entire spectrum of ages exposed indoors

Gender: Miners studied have been exclusively male; both sexes exposed indoors

Exposure pattern: Miners exposed for variable intervals during adulthood; exposure is lifelong for the population

Cigarette smoking: The majority of the miners studied have been smokers; only a minority of U.S. adults are currently smokers

*Taken from Table 1-2 in reference (6).

TABLE 2. ASSUMPTIONS FOR EXPOSURE SCENARIOS ASSUMED FOR MINES AND HOMES*

SUMMARY OF RADON PROGENY AEROSOL CHARACTERISTICS ASSUMED TO REPRESENT EXPOSURE CONDITIONS IN MINES AND HOMES

Exposure Scenario	f_p	AMD of Room Aerosol (μm)	AMD of Aerosol in respiratory tract (μm)
<u>Mine</u>			
Mining	0.005	0.25	0.5
Haulage drifts	0.03	0.25	0.5
Lunch room	0.08	0.25	0.5
<u>Living Room</u>			
Normal	0.08	0.15	0.3
Smoker - average	0.03	0.25	0.5
- during smoking	0.01	0.25	0.5
Cooking/vacuuming	0.05	0.02/0.15 ⁺ (15%/80%)	0.02/0.3 (15%/80%)
<u>Bedroom</u>			
Normal	0.08	0.15	0.3
High	0.16	0.15	0.3

*Based on Tables 3-1 and 3-2 in reference 6.

⁺The radon progeny aerosol produced by cooking/vacuuming has three size modes; 5% of potential alpha energy is unattached, 15% has an AMD of 0.02 μm , and 80% has an AMD of 0.15 μm . The 0.02 μm AMD mode is hydrophobic and does not increase in size within the respiratory tract.

TABLE 3. ASSUMPTIONS FOR EXPOSURE SCENARIOS ASSUMED FOR MINES AND HOMES*

LEVELS OF PHYSICAL EXERTION AND AVERAGE MINUTE VOLUMES ASSUMED FOR UNDERGROUND MINERS AND FOR ADULTS IN THE HOME

Exposure Scenario	Level of Exertion	Average \dot{V}_E (liters/min)	
		Man	Woman
Underground Mine			
Mining	25% heavy work/75% light work	31	--
Haulage way	100% light work	25	--
Lunch room	50% light work/50% rest	17	--
Home-Living Room			
Normal and smoker	50% light work/50% rest	17	14
Cooking/vacuuming	75% light work/25% rest	21	17
Home-Bedroom			
Normal and high	100% sleep	7.5	5.3

*Based on Tables 3-1 and 3-2 in reference 6.

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF K FACTORS FOR BRONCHIAL DOSE CALCULATED FOR
 NORMAL PEOPLE IN THE GENERAL ENVIRONMENT RELATIVE
 TO HEALTHY UNDERGROUND MINERS*

Subject Category	K Factor for Target Cells Secretory	Basal
Infant, age 1 month	0.74	0.64
Child, age 1 year	1.00	0.87
Child, age 5-10 years	0.83	0.72
Female	0.72	0.62
Male	0.76	0.66

*Taken from Table 5-1 in reference 6.

Session VII:
State Programs and Policies Relating to Radon