FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH HOME RADON CONCENTRATIONS IN ILLINOIS

by: Thomas J. Bierma. MBA. Ph.D. and Jennifer O'Neill Environmental Health Program Department of Health Sciences Illinois State University Normal. Illinois 61761

ABSTRACT

The Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety has performed short-term alpha-track radon testing in over 3000 homes throughout Illinois. Data were also collected on a wide array of household characteristics and test conditions. Analysis of these data revealed a number of interesting patterns.

Contrary to many investigations, the highest average concentrations were not obtained in homes monitored in winter. Though a number of models were explored in the analysis, few could explain more than 10% of the variation in radon concentrations and no model could explain more than 20%. This suggests that other factors, such as local geology, may be largely responsible for inter-house variations.

Among houses with a crawlspace elevated radon was associated with having an indoor entrance and not ventilating or insulating the crawlspace. In houses with basements, the foundation construction materials were important explanatory variables. Surprisingly, common entry routes, such as sump pits, cracks, drains, and exposed earth, were not associated with radon concentration. Also contrary to other studies, energy efficiency was positively associated with radon concentration.

Results suggest that factors governing radon concentrations in the Midwest are poorly understood.

INTRODUCTION

The primary factors governing the entrance of radon into the home are well known: 1) the soil radon gas concentration and soil permeability. 2) the existence of entry routes between soil and home interior, and 3) a pressure difference between home and soil to provide a driving force for radon entry. However, specific conditions that influence these factors are not well understood, and other factors, such as the distribution of radon in the home and the infiltration of outside air, can influence the concentration of radon in various parts of a home.

Though a number of studies have been performed to examine the relationships between local conditions and indoor radon concentrations, considerable uncertainty remains. In addition, relatively little work has been conducted on factors influencing home radon concentrations in the Midwest.

This study was performed using data collected on approximately 3,000 homes in Illinois that were tested for radon as a part of the Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety's Radon Program. In addition to radon testing, data were collected on a number of household and monitoring conditions. The purpose of this analysis is to build a predictive model for indoor radon concentrations in Illinois. Such a model could not only help identify homes with a higher risk of clevated radon, but could also assist in directing mitigation efforts.

A PRIORI MODEL SPECIFICATION

Data on a number of household and monitoring variables were collected during monitoring (see the following section for details). An <u>a priori</u> model was developed to guide analysis of these variables and their relationships to indoor radon. The model is also useful in identifying gaps in the array of variables included on the questionnaire.

Table 1 presents the household and monitoring variables evaluated in this analysis. Indicated in the table are expected relationships between each variable and monitored radon concentration. These expectations are based upon relationships reported by others in the literature and on the authors' own field experience. Table 1 lists the basic references used in creating the table. A detailed discussion of the current literature and a priori model is not presented here due to space limitations. The reader is referred to reviews such as references (1-3). However, a few comments should be made to clarify subsequent modeling.

Air infiltration may be associated with an increase or decrease home radon concentrations. Increases may occur if infiltration occurs due to house depressurization, which can increase infiltration of soil gas. Similarly, the mixing of air within a house can increase or decrease monitored radon depending upon the location of the monitor. For example, basement radon levels may decrease and first floor radon levels may increase as basement air is distrib-

uted through a forced-air heating system. Therefore, variables related to air infiltration and air distribution may demonstrate either a positive or negative relationship to radon in modeling results.

No information on local geology, weather conditions, or other radon sources (other than basement wall construction material and exterior brick) was collected. Thus, the contribution of these factors to radon concentrations cannot be assessed with this data.

METHODS

In the Fall of 1986 the Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety began a systematic home radon testing program in Illinois. Testing was performed on a county-by-county basis using local assistance from county health departments. Cooperative Extension Service personnel, or other organizations. The program continued through the Spring of 1990, though no testing was performed from the Summer of 1988 to the Fall of 1989.

An alpha-track detector was typically placed in the lowest livable area of the home. After approximately one month of exposure detectors were returned to the contract laboratory (Tech/Ops Landaur, Inc.) for evaluation.

In addition to placement of detectors, surveyors completed a questionnaire with the assistance of a head-of-household. The questionnaire included a number of questions on house characteristics and on the household occupants.

Though houses were not selected randomly, selection procedures, typically involving identification of houses from county highway maps, were intended to avoid any systematic bias. Due to the complex interaction of factors influencing radon concentrations, such selection processes may be relatively free of bias (1). At least 20 houses were tested in each county. The number of houses tested in a county increased with increasing population. The 3.021 monitored houses analyzed in this study were drawn from 73 of 102 Illinois counties. These 73 counties contain approximately 88% of the Illinois population.

All data were evaluated for distributional characteristics and coding creors or ambiguities. For many quantitative variables demonstrating non-normal distributions, transformations were performed to enhance normality. In most cases, natural logarithm transformations were sufficient. For other non-normal quantitative variables, however, no useful distributions could be derived from transformation. Some variables demonstrated bit or tri-modal distributions. Such variables were typically transformed to artificial categorical variables using either theoretically or empirically based cut-points. All final variables and their coding schemes are presented in Table 1: For quantitative variables, scatter plots were assessed for evidence of heteroskidasticity and non-linearity. No problems were identified.

Multiple linear regression was used to explore models of monitored radon concentration. A series of theory and non-theory based models were explored. These are explained in more detail in the following section.

7.7

16

The state of the s

RESULTS

OVERALL

Radon concentrations were approximately log-normally distributed with a geometric mean of 2.84 pCi/l and a standard geometric deviation of 2.30. All subsequent modeling and statistical analyses used the natural log transform of radon concentration.

It was anticipated, prior to analysis, that substructure type, monitor location, and season of monitoring would be the primary explanatory variables across all types of homes, but that the effects of monitor location and season of monitoring could vary by substructure type. Table 2 presents the ANOVA results for radon concentration across the four basic substructure types encountered. Results confirm that substructure is a critical explanatory variable, with crawlspace/no basement producing the lowest concentrations and basement/crawlspace combination producing the highest concentration.

Some of the difference in means, however, may be due to monitor location rather than a direct effect of substructure type. A small percentage of houses with basements were monitored on the first floor, presumably because the basements were not considered "livable". Table 2 presents ANOVA results using first floor measurements only. Though significant differences are still noted, slab-on-grade, rather than basement/crawlspace combination represents the substructure associated with the highest average monitored concentrations

No analysis was conducted on the joint effect of monitor location and substructure type since interaction is an artifact of the survey method: a monitor was placed in the basement only if the home had a basement. The joint effect of substructure and season are presented in Table 3. Both independent variables are significantly associated with the dependent variable, and there appears to be an interaction effect. In basement homes without a crawlspace, fall monitoring produced the highest concentrations. For slab-on-grade homes, fall monitoring produced the lowest concentrations.

Due to the apparent interaction between substructure type and monitoring location and season, as well as probable interactions with other explanatory variables, subsequent analyses were conducted separately for each of the four substructure types.

Before leaving Table 3. however, it is important to note that, contrary to many research findings, winter was not the season of highest radon concentrations. Summer produced the highest or second highest concentrations in each substructure type.

A number of regression models were explored for each substructure type. These are presented in Tables 4 through 7. Model A in all tables represents the results from a series of simple linear regressions using the variable listed as the only independent variable. Interpretation of statistical significance under multiple comparisons is an obvious problem. Consistency with theory and the findings of other studies, as well as consistency across substructure types, should be used in evaluating the results. This point will be explored further in the discussion section.

Models B through D reflect models built upon theory and the results of other models. In Model B, only the monitor location variables were used. Model C included the addition of monitoring location. Model D represents the best attempt at a complete, theory-based model. Beginning with a base of Model C, additional variables were added for which a good theoretic foundation exists, and which demonstrated results consistent with theory in Models Λ and E (explained below). Model D should be considered the best model for prediction in Illinois houses.

Models E through C represent non-theoretical modeling. Model E includes all variables simultaneously in the model. Because many of the independent variables are correlated, multicolinearity is a significant problem in Model However, by comparing the regression coefficients and p-values to those obtained in other models, one can use Model E to identify those variable that are relatively sensitive or relatively insensitive to the inclusion of other variables in the model. Model F uses Model C plus a forward selection procedure, and Model G used a stepwise selection procedure without any forced variables. Variables included through such non-theoretic methods should only be considered curious possibilities as true explanatory factors for indoor radon. Considerable support from other studies would be needed to enhance the reliability of such models.

The most immediate observation from the regression analyses is the relatively low explanatory power of the models. The percentage of variation in radon explained by the models was generally under 10%. Only for homes with both a basement and crawlspace does theoretical modeling achieve an resquare greater than 0.2. (Note: although Model E can produce a greater R square. non-theoretic models are likely to have far less predictive power than explanatory power.)

Specific variables of interest include monitoring location and scason. For homes with basements, knowing whether the monitor had been located in the basement or not was an important predictor of monitored radon in all models. Knowing whether the monitor was located in a first-floor bedroom or elsewhere on the first was not, generally, a good explanatory variable. This changed in the non-theoretic models for homes with basement/ no crawlspace, possibly due to the addition of the energy efficiency or central air conditioning varia-For homes without basements, bedroom location was important only for homes with a crawlspace, and then, only when monitoring season was included in the model.

Monitoring season was important in nearly all models though the effects. were not consistent across substructure types (supporting the previous ANOVA) results). Only for slab-on-grade homes, where sample size was small, did the season variables generally have p-values greater than 0.1 and not produce a significant r-square change from Model B to Model C. and the same will be

BASEMENT/CRAWLSPACE SUBSTRUCTURE

.

. . .

For homes with a basement/crawlspace combination, an entrance from the interior of the home (most likely the basement) to the erawlspace produced a consistent increase in monitored radon. Because the dependent variable is logarithmic, coefficients represent the multiplicative effects of an independent variable on the radon concentration. The coefficient of 0.26 in Model D indicates that a crawlspace entrance increases home radon about 30%. Similar 5

ing the fact that the

ly, venting a crawlspace demonstrated a consistent decrease in radon. with Model D indicating approximately 20% higher radon levels for homes with unvented crawlspaces. Coefficients for crawlspace insulation and the presence of exposed earth in the crawlspace were both in the correct direction but did not demonstrate consistent statistical significance.

Basement foundation construction material also appeared to be important. Construction materials, from lowest to highest in their apparent contribution to home radon levels are poured concrete, block, "other material", stone and mortar, and brick. From Model D, brick is associated with an average increase in radon levels of greater than 50% over poured concrete. (It is interesting to note that brick reverses signs in Model E. This is apparently an artifact due to multicollinearity.)

Energy efficiency demonstrated a consistent positive relationship with radon. The greater the occupant-assessed energy efficiency, the greater the radon. Perhaps for similar reasons, the number of people in the house was negatively related to radon. Both variables may be related to the amount of air exchange and the size of the house.

Among the most significant findings is that the standard entry routes in a basement (cracks, sump pit, exposed earth, etc.) were not important explanatory variables. Some even had coefficients with a sign opposite that predicted by theory. Also contrary to theory, the presence of a woodstove or fire-place had a negative coefficient and large p-value.

There are a number of interesting curiosities in the regressions of houses with basement/erawlspace combinations. In Model Λ having room air conditioning or an electric space heater were associated with lower radon concentrations. These did not retain their significance in Model E and may reflect the effects of energy efficiency. Another interesting point is the importance of a brick exterior appearing only in the non-theoretic models. Having an interior entrance to the basement was associated with higher radon levels in Model Λ , though this association lessened dramatically in Model E. Λ test for an interaction effect between basement monitor location and basement entry was negative (results not presented here).

BASEMENT NO CRAWLSPACE SUBSTRUCTURE

For basement homes without a crawlspace, a similar pattern appears. Basement construction materials, in the order of their apparent contribution to radon, are poured concrete, block, brick, and stone and mortar. This order, and magnitude of effect, are roughly consistent with the findings of basement crawlspace homes.

Standard basement entry routes were, again, not significant, though the presence of cracks had a marginal p-value and correctly signed coefficient in Model Λ . The presence of a woodstove or fireplace also was not an important explanatory factor.

An interaction term between basement monitor location and E_FINISH (using the basement as a bedroom or living area) was found to be significant in a separate test. The inclusion of this term in Model D resulted in a dramatic change in the effect of B_FINISH. Together, these variables would appear to have a substantial effect on radon. For a home with a basement used

as a bedroom or living area, basement levels averaged nearly 5 times higher than in homes without finished basements.

Energy efficiency demonstrated the same relationship with radon as in basement/crawlspace homes.

Among the curiosities, the presence of central air conditioning or central forced-air heat, which may be inversely related to energy efficiency, demonstrated significance in Model A. Brick exterior was negatively related to radon, the opposite effect of that in basement/crawlspace homes.

CRAWLSPACE/NO BASEMENT SUBSTRUCTURE

In homes with a crawlspace but no basement, having an entrance to the crawlspace from the home interior was an important predictor of radon in all models. Insulation and ventilation of crawlspace were directionally consist ent with theory, though p-values were marginal.

A number of curious associations were found. Having an attic fan was consistently an important explanatory variable, though in the opposite direction generally expected from theory. Other variables, such as having hot water heat, gravity feed furnace, and "other" fuel type, had low or marginally low p-values in at least one model. Having a gravity feed furnace, for example, produced an average 75% increase in home radon levels. Explanations for such associations are unclear.

SLAB-ON-GRADE SUBSTRUCTURE

For slab-on-grade homes, age of house and energy efficiency (which may be related to age of house) were consistently important explanatory factors. indicating that the older and less energy-efficient the home, the lower the radon.

A curiosity was the very strong inverse relationship between central air conditioning and radon. Having central air was associated with an average decrease in radon of about 50%. Having a fireplace or woodstove was associated with a decrease in radon, though p-values were relatively large.

Because of the relatively small sample size for slab-on-grade homes caution should be used in assessing the importance of variables based on pvalue alone: DISCUSSION

A number of limitations should be recognized when drawing conclusions from this study. The methods used to select homes for testing produce a greater likelihood of bias than more rigorous. pseudo-random selection methods. Though reasonable steps were taken to assure quality and consistency in collection, the use of local personnel and home occupants is likely to introduce some error. ---

Because sampling was conducted by independent local agencies as time permitted, a correlation between local factors (such as housing or geology) and time-variant factors (such as weather) may have been introduced. In

the many that of the

addition. lack of local geological data means that correlations between geology and household conditions cannot be identified. Thus, some results may be due to the confounding effects of geology and time-variant factors.

Finally, due to the high degree of correlation between variables, modeling is prone to error. Model results should be considered suggestive, not confirmatory evidence of the actual underlying relationships.

It appears that the vast majority of radon variation is due to factors other than the common household factors considered in this analysis. Such factors may include differences in regional or local geology, housing construction types, weather conditions at the time of monitoring, or household factors not considered (such as the existence of thermal bypasses or vented appliances). This does not indicate, however, that the factors considered in this survey are not important determinants of radon (for example having a brick foundation may increase radon readings an average of 50%) but only that other factors appear to be more important in explaining the variation between houses. Household factors may not be consistent in their effects. This supports policy recommendations that all homes be tested for radon, despite the apparent presence or absence of known risk factors.

Location of the monitor in the basement produced, as expected, a significant increase in radon concentration. Location of the monitor in the bedroom, as opposed to elsewhere on the first floor, was generally unimportant. For research primarily intended to evaluate determinants of home radon concentrations, first floor monitoring in all homes is desirable to allow direct comparisons across all house types.

Season of monitoring was an important explanatory factor. However, the relationship between season and home radon concentration was not consistent with other investigations nor was it consistent across housing substructures. The finding that summer radon concentrations could be as high or higher than other seasons suggests that current guidelines for winter monitoring be revaluated. However, since these data reflect the measurement of different homes in different seasons, they should be interpreted with caution. Additional research on seasonal effects in the Midwest and elsewhere in the country are needed.

Basement foundation construction material was a relatively consistent predictor of radon. Brick and stone foundations were consistently higher than poured concrete, even after adjustment for age of house. Block foundations were slightly elevated compared to poured concrete. This suggests opportunities for low cost mitigative strategies if foundation walls are accessible and if a suitable radon barrier can be found.

An entrance to a enable space was consistently associated with increased radon. Insulation, exposed earth, and lack of mentilation in the crawlspace were also associated with increased radon, though less consistently. These findings suggest that the common weatherization practices of scaling crawl space entries, insulating theors, and installing vapor barriers not only save energy, but may reduce radon (through vapor barriers may need to be scaled and vented). Limiting crawlspace ventilation as an energy saving measure, however, does not appear advisable.

The work described in this paper was not funded by the U.S. Environmen

tal Protection agency and therefore the contents do not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency and no official endorsement should be inferred.

REFERENCES

- 1. Nero, Λ . Radon and its decay products in indoor air: an overview. In: W.W. Nazaroff and Λ .V. Nero (cd.), Radon and its Decay Products in Indoor Λ ir. John Wiley and Sons. New York. 1988. p. 1.
- Sextro, R. Understanding the origin of radon indoors- building a predictive capability. Atmospheric Environment. 21:431, 1987.
- Mosely, R., and Henschel. D.B. Application of radon reduction methods. EPA/625/5-88/024, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, NC, 1988.
- Nazaroff, W., Moed, B., and Scxtro, R. Soil as a source of indoor radon: generation, migration, and entry. <u>In</u>: W.W. Nazaroff and Λ.V. Nero (cd.), Radon and its Decay Products in Indoor Λir. John Wiley and Sons. New York, 1988. p. 57.
- 5. Turk, B., Prill, R., Grimsrud, D., Moed, B., and Sextro, R. Character izing the occurrence, sources, and variability of radon in Pacific Northwest homes. J. Air Waste Manage, Assoc. 40:498, 1990.
- 6. Fisk, W. and Mowris, R. The impacts of balanced and exhaust mechanical ventilation on indoor radon. <u>In</u>: B. Seifert, H.Esdorn, M. Fischer, H. Ruden, J.Wagner (ed.) Indoor Air '87. Institute for Water, Soil and Air Hygiene, Berlin, 1987. p. 316.
- Cohen, B., and Cromicko, N. Variation of radon levels in U.S. homes with various factors. J. Air Poll. Cont. Assoc. 38:129, 1988.
- 8. Bierma, T., and Toohey, R. Correlation of lung dose with Rn concentration, potential alpha-energy concentration and daughter surface deposition; a Monte Carlo analysis. Health Physics, 57:429, 1989.
- 9. Sextro, R., Moed, B., Nazaroff, K., Revzan, K., and Nero, A. Investigations of soil as a source of indoor radon. In: P. Hopke (cd.). Radon and Its Decay Products Occurrence. Properties, and Health. Effects. American Chemical Society, N.Y., 1987, p. 10.
- 10. Borak, T., Woodruff, B., and Toohey, R.: A survey of winter, summer and annual average Rn 222 concentrations in family dwellings. Health Physics, 57:465, 1989.
- 11. Arnold, L. A scale model study of the effects of meteorological, soil, and house parameters on soil gas pressures. Health Physics, 18:559, 1990.

* * A * A * A * A

A to the last of the first

TABLE 1. VARIABLE NAMES, EXPLANATIONS, CODING, AND THEORETICAL RELATIONSHIP
TO INDOOR RADON CONCENTRATION

Variable name	Description	Coding ^a	Relationship to indoor radon ^b , c
Substructured			
BMTCRL	Basement and crawlspace		÷
BMTNOC	Basement but no crawlspace		
CRLNOB	Crawlspace but no basement		
SLAB	Slab-on-grade only		
2202	2749-01-47442 0111		-
Season			
WINTER	Monitoring done during the winter		++
SPRING	Monitoring done during the spring		.,
SUMMER	Monitoring done during the summer		
FALL	Monitoring done during the fall		
Monitor			
LOCEMT	Monitor located in the basement		++
			7.7
LOCEDR	Monitor located in the bedroom		
OTHER	Monitor located somewhere else on		••
	first floor		
M PEOPLE	How many people will be living in the		
#_F10F11	house for the next month?	COUNT	-
	house for the next month:	Count	239
LNAGEHS	What is the age of the house?	natural log	+/-
	mad 13 the dec of the house.	of years	. /
		or lear?	
N_SHOKER	Is there at least one smoker in the house?		•
ADJ SLAB	Coes the house have any attached asphalt or		+
.50 _025	concrete slabs: actached garage, carport slab,		
3	catio, driveway, etc.1?	8	
	ingero, arricadi, comin		
PC BRICK	What percent of the outside of the house is	0%=0, >0%=1	4
• 1	covered with brick?	(50 (40) (A3) # #6,59 975°	
	a = a + =	"12"	
ENER_EFF	Sum of occupant ratings on five energy	A serie of	+/-
	efficiency characteristic. Each	5=least to	
	to the second of	15	H
TOTAL TOTAL	characteristic sated on scale of a to 5.	23-4027	garan a di
25 In 150 Ep. F	The state of the s	energy	4
		efficient	
TRUM 10	Do you use central air-conditioning during	65 W	1.1
ENT_LC	Julyou abb consist assistantiates on any desiring	6. 111	0.77
	Wara Meadner?		
DOM 30	33 you ugg 3 room sir candinianar during		3
OOM TC	Co you use a room air_conditioner during		200
	Warz Weather?		
λυ ·	Do you use a whole house and/or attic fan		
AN_ATIC			2.70
	during warm weather?		

TABLE 1. "ARIABLE MANES, EXPLANATIONS, CODING, AND THEORETICAL RELATIONSHIP TO INDOOR RADON CONCENTRATION (CONTINUED)

Variable name	Description Coding ²	Relationship to indoor radon ^{b, o}
CENT_FA	Do you use a forced air central heating system during cold weather?	+/-
W_BASE	Do you use a hot water baseboard or radiator system during cold weather?	₹/*
CENT_GF	Do you use a gravity flow central heating system during cold weather?	*·*
ELEC_SPA	Do you use an electric space heater during cold weather?	. 3
1 00D	Do you use a fireplace or wood burning stove during cold weather?	+/-
KEROKEAT	Do you use a kerosene space heater during cold weather?	1
JAS_STOV	Do you use a gas stove during cold weather?	*
HEAT_CTHER	Do you use some other kind of heating system during cold weather that was not mentioned on this questionnaire? If so, specify.	?
J_FIXISH	Is all or a portion of the basement frequently used as a bedroom or living area?	?
ouncation	The outside basement walls are primarily composed	
BLOCK	Concrete or cinder block	-
CRET	Poured concrete	
MORT	Stone and mortar	•
322	Brick	
CTHER	Other and tile	•
NT_335E	Can you enter the basement from inside the house?	***
RACES	loes the basement floorier sub-surface	
	floor in a split-level hore: have large cracks or noies?	
RAINE	Coes the casement floor:or sub_surface	
	floor in a split-level home, have drains?	
i.K3	loes the pagement floor(or sub-surface	
	floor in a split-level hore have supp	
	cuans?	

TABLE 1. TARIABLE MAMES, EXPLANATIONS, CODING, AND THEORETICAL RELATIONSHIP TO INDOOR RADON CONCENTRATION (CONTINUED)

Variable name	Description	Coding ^a	Relationship to indoor radon ^{b,C}
Earth	Does the basement floor(or sub-surface floor in a split-level home) have exposed earth?		+
CSP_ENTR	Can you enter the crawl space from inside the house(from basement, for example)?	2	•
CSP_INSL	Is the floor above the crawl space insulated?	1	
CSP_VENT	Will the crawl space be vented to the outside during the monitoring period?		
CSP_EXP	Does the crawl space have exposed earth?		+

a. All Yes/No variables codes as 0=no, 1=yes

MINISTER IN AND THE SECOND \$1.17 11.25 4.41.5 4 4 ... - 17.

^{- =} negatively related

^{-- =} strongly and negatively related

^{+/- =} both positive and negative relationships may be expected

^{? =} too little information to predict a relationship

c. Based upon references (1) through (11)

d. These variables were used to define datasets and do not appear explicitly in later tables.

TABLE 2: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE RESULTS FOR RADON CONCENTRATION BY SUBSTRUCTURE TYPE.

Monitor location	Substructure type	Geometric mean concentration (pCi/l)	Geometric Standard Dev.	Я
All(a)	All types	2.84	2.30	3,021
1.00	Basement and crawlspace	3.56	2.21	752
	Crawlspace, no basement	1.67	2.11	572
	Basement, no crawlspace	3.15	2.21	1,548
	Slab-on-grade only	2.32	2.33	134
First floor(b)	All types	1.79	2.14	353
	Basement and crawlspace	1.95	1.38	78
	Crawlspace, no basement	1.67	2.11	572
	Basement, no crawlspace	1.81	2.07	69
	Slab-on-grade only	2.32	2.33	134

⁽a) p-value = <.001 for at least two means differing by substructure type.

TABLE 3: GEOMETRIC MEAN CONCENTRATION IN pci/1 (AND SAMPLE SIZE) BY SEASON OF MONITORING AND SUBSTRUCTURE TYPE²

	BASE	ALAL	BYZEKE	YT	NO BAS	CHENT	NO BASE	MENT
	CRAWLS	PACE	NO CRAW	LSPACE	CRAWLS	PACE	NO CRAW	LEFACE
EASON								
STYTES	3.74	(509)	3.22	(1137)	1.68	(354)	2.27	3"}
SERENC	2.34	(122)	2.51	(213)	1.39	(103)	2.83	
S.ARES	4.76	(65)	3.74	(118)	1.57	(45)	2.34	::)
FALL	3.94			(75)		(70)	1.70	

....

⁽b) p-value = <.001 for at least two means differing by substructure type.

a. c-values = <.001 for both main effects and interaction

TABLE 4: MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FOR MODELS OF HOME RADON CONCENTRATION:
HOMES BUILT OVER BASEMENT AND CRAWLSPACE

VARIABLE	MODEL A	MODEL B	WODEL C	MODEL D	MODEL E	MODEL F	MODEL G
INTERCEPT	•	.52447	.90441	.63720	.13636	06271	.42381
	•	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	-(.79)	(.20)
WINTER	13391	1 2500	11985	13353	19473	18070107100	17511
	(.34)		(.38)	(.34)	(.14)		(.19)
SPRING	60418		54158	62871		48379	64939
	(<.01)			- (<.01)		(<.01)	(<.01)
SUMMER	.15321		.10257	.05199	03172	,	08679
	(.74)		(.32)	(.91)	(.94)	L.	(.84)
LOCENT	.66483	.66483	.57401	.55822		.63876	.57936
	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)		(<.01)
LOCBOR	02230	02230	08867	22949	11436		11565
	(.91)	(.91)	(.55)	(.24)	(.55)		(.54)
PEOPLE	05905	(/	(05277	(1.55)		04495
	(.03)			(.05)			(.07)
ADJ SLAB	.10375			.08877			1.01
.50_000	(.17)			(.25)			
SMOKER	.09009			.10630			
00	(.21)			(.13)			
NAGEHS	04625			05477			06157
	(.18)			(.20)			(.13)
NER EFF	.02528			.01958	.02655	.02516	.02513
	(.01)			(.04)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)
C_BRICK	07020			.50320	(4.01)	.34598	(1.01)
C DATES	(.45)			(<.01)		(<.01)	
ENT_AC	.09944			.08401		(2.01)	
.sar_ac		A)					
00V 10	(.17)			(.33)			
CON_YC	14919			06130			
11 1070	(.06)			(.49)			
NA_ATIC	.05429			.10389			
31/M P1	(.60)			(.30)			
ENT_FA	.05843			03392			
2142	(.52)			(.81)			
_9ASE	05531			.01585			
	(.62)			(.92)			
ent_cf	30802	are to see to be		27069		100	
	(.19)	137 177 1			300		
LEC_SPA	22500			02960			
	(.06)			(.80)			
000	03622			10073			
	(.63)			(.16)			
EROHEAT	09649			.00570			
	(.47)			(.96)			
AS_STOV	31293			24238			
	(.14)			(.24)			
EYL CLRES	.12606			.31080			
• 50	(.35)			(.03)			

TABLE 4: MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FOR MODELS OF HOME RADON CONCENTRATION:
HOMES BUILT OVER BASEMENT AND CRAWLSPACE (CONTINUE)

VARIABLE	MODEL A	MODEL B	MODEL C	MODEL D	HODEL E	MODEL P	MODEL G	
BLOCK	.09408			.10501			.13430	
MORT	(.27) .13457			(.23) .41139			(.10) .39434	
nual	(.32)			(<.01)			(<.01)	
BRK	.33532			13263	.34835		.50465	
CANT.	(<.01)			(.15)	(<.01)		(<.01)	
OTHERBUT	.18767			.32299			.34548	
	(.21)			(.03)			(.02)	
B_PINISH	.01927			.02686			Ø 32	
070	(.81)			(.75)				
ENT_BASE	.26429			.05568				
CRACKS DRAINS	(.02)			(.61)				
	05275			03644				
	(.47)			(.61)				
	04133			11459				
	(.66)			(.20)				
SUMP			03177					
EARTH	(.66) .06191			(.26)				
DIAMIA				.08333				
CSP_ENTR	(.45) .30078			(.32)	.23927	.22295	.25269	
	(<.01)			(.03)	(.01)	(.01)	(<.01)	
CSP_INSL	03825			06228	(.02)	(.41)	()	
	(.62)			(.40)				
THEV_92	13419			20053	18782	18901	19699	
•	(.07)			(<.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	
SP_EXP	.06678			.09223	.19421	.20200	.14370	
	(.47)			(.34)	(.03)	(.02)	(.13)	
ultiple R ²		.07481	.12360	.25315	.19353	.18892	.21718	
p-value)		(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	
2-Change		6: 1d	.04879	.12955		(5)	W 50	
p-value)			(<.01)	(<.01)				

TABLE 5: MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FOR MODELS OF HOME RADON CONCENTRATION:
HOMES BUILT OVER BASEMENT BUT NO CRAWLSPACE

VARIABLE	MODEL A	MODEL B	MODEL C	MODEL D	MODEL E	HODEL F	MODEL
INTERCEPT		.68446	.96252	.73018	.84825	.50784	.78389
	•	(<.01)	(<.01)	(.02)	(<.01)	(.02)	(<.01)
HINTER	18722		16864	20245	17015	, ,	17590
	(.13)		(.17)	(.10)	(.16)		(.14)
SPRING	46281		41092	42518	40259	23534	41147
	(<.01)		(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)
SUMMER	09921		09921	11711	07166	,,	09674
	(.76)		(.76)	(.71)	(.82)		(.75)
LOCBMT	.47646	.47646	.39752	.40905	.39413	.57138	.39319
	(<.01)	(<.01)	(.01)	(<.01)		(<.01)	
LOCEDR	29852	29852	33525	47366		47317	
2000011	(.19)	(.19)	(.14)	(.04)	(.05)	(.04)	(.11)
N PEOPLE	.02780	(• = >)	(• • •)	.03166	()	()	()
	(.12)			(80.)			
ADJ_SLAB	.07140			.11599			
220 _2202	(.15)			(.04)			
SMOKER	.02038			00685			
_ JAORDA	(.67)			(.39)			
LNAGEHS	02615			08970		08629	09404
LARGEAS				(<.01)		(<.01)	
-	(.29) .01519			.02134	.01692	.01666	.01699
ENER_EFF						(.02)	(.01)
20 22 22	(.02)			(<.01)	(.01)	(.32)	(.41)
PC_BRICK	11062			07839			
2010 10	(.04)			(.15)	12048	12346	
CENT_AC	11208			12129			
100W 10	(.02)			(.05)	(.02)	(.02)	16.0
SOOM_YC	.04593			08926			
11 1070	(.43)			(.21)			
AN_ATIC	11001			09317			
	(.13)			(.20)			.1611
ENT_FA	11838			13650_			11611
	(.05)			(.24)			(.04)
_BASE	.10301			03680			2 154
Nacional Cons	(.15)		×	(.77)			
ENT_GF	.01615			14266			
renance some	(.92)			(.47)			
LEC_SPA	02207			02826			
	(08.)			(.75)			
000	.02371			.01815			
	(.57)			(.72)			
ESCHEYA	.11347			.12184			
	(.29)			(.25)			
AS_STOT	31028			.32939			
·=	(.94)			(.83)			
EAT_OTHER	. 36506			33535			
3075	(.52)			1.771			
LOCK	. 21535			.22573	.27904	.26975	. 27563
	<.311			(<.31)	(<.01)	1 . 011	(<.01)

TABLE 5: MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FOR MODELS OF HOME RADON CONCENTRATION:
HOMES BUILT OVER BASEMENT BUT NO CRAWLSPACE (CONTINUED)

VARIABLE	WODEL Y	HODEL B	MODEL C	MODEL D	NODEL E	MODEL F	MODEL G
MORT	.35438			.46092	.48845	.48387	
	(<.01)			(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	
BRK	.26990	2.		.38522	.40233	.40290	.49618
	(<.01)			(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)
OTHERBHT	04626			.07880			.39319
	(.72)		100	(.55)			(<.01)
B FINISH	06937			10759		11224	.08526
	(.15)			(.03)		(.02)	(.51)
LOCPIN (a)				*			.64436
3. 2							(.12)
ENT BASE	.02046			01569			74116
-	(.85)			(.89)			(80.)
CRACKS	.08293			.05840			A STATE OF THE STA
	(.09)			(.25)			
RAINS	.04636			.06675			
	(.52)			(.35)			
UMP	02555			04301			
	(.59)			(.39)			
ARTH	.09408			.13934			.15700
	(.31)			(.15)			(.10)
			1828/2 S.Ton (2012/2019/04				
ultiple R'		.02426	.03894	.10581	.03507	.08036	.09169
p-value)		(<.01)	[<.01]	(<.01)	(<.01)	- (<.01)	(<.01)
2-Change			.31468	.05687			
p-value)			(<.01)	(<.01)			

11,000

TABLE 6: MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FOR MODELS OF HOME RADON CONCENTRATION:
HOMES BUILT OVER CRAWLSPACE BUT NO BASEMENT

(.14) SPRING SUMMER LOCBMT LOCBDR M_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB M_SMOKER LNAGEHS ENER_EFF PC_BRICK	16455 18879 (<.01) 21478 (.24) 11316 (.13) .00389	.11316	.63841 (<.01) 19250 -(.07) 42165 (<.01) 27490 (.14)	23470 (.02) 40197 (.01) 32321	44044 (<.01) 44044 (<.01) 41428	.50219 (<.01) (.01) 19195 (.03)	.74458 (<.01) 29359
SPRING - SUMMER - LOCENT LOCEDR LOCEDR LPEOPLE LDJ_SLAB LSMOKER MAGEHS MER_EFF C_SRICK	.18879 (<.01) .21478 (.24) .11316 (.13) .00389	(.09)	19250 -(.07) 42165 (<.01) 27490	(.42) 23470 (.02) 40197 (.01) 32321	(<.01) 26874 44044 (<.01) 41428	(<.01) (.01) 19195	(<.01 2935
(.14) SPRING SUMMER LOCBMT LOCBDR N_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB N_SMOKER LNAGEHS EMER_EFF PC_BRICK	.18879 (<.01) .21478 (.24) .11316 (.13) .00389	(.09)	19250 -(.07) 42165 (<.01) 27490	23470 (.02) 40197 (.01) 32321	26874 44044 (<.01) 41428	(.01) 19195	2935
(.14) SPRING - SUMMER - LOCBMT LOCBDR M_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB M_SMOKER LMAGEHS EMER_EFF	.18879 (<.01) .21478 (.24) .11316 (.13) .00389		-(.07) 42165 (<.01) 27490	(.02) 40197 (.01) 32321	44044 (<.01) 41428	19195	
SPRING - SUMMER - LOCBMT LOCBDR M_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB M_SMOKER LMAGEHS EMER_EFF	(<.01) 21478 (.24) 11316 (.13) 00389		42165 (<.01) 27490	40197 (.01) 32321	(<.01) 41428	19195	5038
SUMMER - LOCBUT LOCBUR M_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB M_SMOKER LMAGEHS EMER_EFF	(<.01) 21478 (.24) 11316 (.13) 00389		(<.01) 27490	(.01) 32321	(<.01) 41428		
SUMMER LOCBUT LOCBUR M_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB M_SMOKER LNAGEHS EMER_EFF	.11316 (.13) .00389	.11116	27490	32321	41428	1.001	(<.01
LOCBMT LOCBDR M_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB M_SMOKER LNAGEHS EMER_EFF	.11316 (.13) .00389	.11116					3912
LOCBDR M_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB M_SMOKER LMAGEHS EMER_EFF C_SRICK	.11316 (.13) .00389	.11316	(.14)	(- + +)	(.03)	-	(.04
PEOPLE SMOKER MAGEHS WER_EFF C_SRICK	(.13) .00389	.11116			(.03)		(.04
M_PEOPLE ADJ_SLAB SMOKER ANAGEHS EXER_EFF C_SRICK	(.13) .00389	.11115	11162		19941		10010
ADJ_SLAB I_SMOKER AMAGEHS EMER_EFF	.001897		.14463	.15591	.17344		.15547
ADJ_SLAB I_SMOKER AMAGEHS EMER_EFF		. (.13)	(.05)	(.04)	(. 0,2)		(.04)
SMOKER NAGEHS NER_EFF				.01128			
SMOKER NAGEHS NER_EFF	(.89)			(.70)			
MAGEHS MER_EFF C_BRICK	.04341			.10461			
MAGEHS MER_EFF	(.58)			(.20)			
NER_EFF	.11543			.05467			
NER_EFF	(.11)			(.38)			
C_BRICK	.04566			.03790			
C_BRICK	(.24)			(.41)			
	.00355			.01355			
	(.72)			(.21)			
ENT_AC .	.92862	100 0		.03722		4	
ENT_AC -	(.77)			(.71)			
	02534			.06893			
	(.72)			(.51)			
00M_AC	.01281	•		.02986			
-	(.87)			(.77)			
AM ATIC -	29695			25446	24573	26775	
	(<.01)			(.32)	(.02)	(.01)	
: K7_7X	05006			17104	, , , , ,	()	
	(.52)			(.22)			
BASE	.30619			.22883	.36088	.32856	
_5.105	(.03)			(.21)	(.01)	(.02)	
ent ge -	57171			56661	1.071	1.02)	
	(.07)			(.09)			
LEC SPA -	.16027			11494			
	(.18)			(.35)			
000 -	.07852			06947			
-	34)						
EROMENT -	.341			(.40) 00899			
10 2707	;.71)			(.96)			
AS_STOV	.19141			.07700			
TAT OFFICE	1.171			(.64)			
AT_OTHER -	.19467			24163			
2 24 22				.28046	.26974	.21505	. 23106
55 ENIX	.22661						

TABLE 6: MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FOR MODELS OF HOME RADON CONCENTRATION:
HOMES BUILT OVER CRAWLSPACE BUT NO BASEMENT (CONTINUED)

VARIABLE	MODEL	١	MODEL B	MODEL C	MODEL D	HODEL È	MODEL F	MODEL G
AUTUDDE	1000		MODEL 1	MODEL C	NODEL 5	noner e	MODEL I	י תמעטה
CSP_INSL	12095	-ux	2		11359			10899
	(.12)		2,		(.16)			(.14)
CSP VENT	08019				13048			12354
•	(.26)				(80.)	4.5		(.09)
CSP_EXP	03655		4 4		.01987			*
	(.69)				(.83)			
								-
Multiple R2		+	.00492	.02936	.11306	.07685	.05571	.06398
(p-value)			(.13)	(.01)	(<.01)	(<.01)	(.00)	: (<.01)
R2-Change				.02444	.08370		4. 302.5	
(p-value)				(.01)	(.01)			

TABLE 7: MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FOR MODELS OF HOME RADON CONCENTRATION:
HOMES BUILT OVER SLAB-ON-GRADE ONLY

VARIABLE	HODEL Y	MODEL 3	HODEL C	KODEL O	MODEL E	MODEL F	MODEL G
INTERCEPT	• .	72577	.30640	-1.38722	.47208	1.07722	-1.47057
	•	(<.01)	(.44)	(80.)	(.23)	(<.01)	(.05)
RETER	.44310	a.	.43118	.55750	.49918	The state of	.40731
	(.27)		(.28)	(.18)	(21)	C.	(.30)
SPRING	.70936	755	.63447	.79930	.63153		.63846
0	(.11)		(.16)	(.09)	(.15)		(.15)
SUMMER	.45747		.38257	.59130	.56041		.36715
Summa	(.32)	. 13	(.41)	(.22)	(.23)		
LOCEDR		24511					(.42)
FOCSOK						Allert a see	19473
V 070017	(.14)	(.14)	(.21)	(.52)	(.25)		(.24)
N_PEOPLE	06949			04225			8) H 9
	(.31)			(.59)			£.
n_snoker	. 25388			.26591			
	(.13)			(.13)			
LNAGEHS-	.13552			.23415			.23172
	(.14)			(.03)			(.02)
ener_eff	.03208			.08430			.05745
-	(.17)			(<.01)			(.03)
PC BRICK	09390			23546			31 6
	(.55)			(.28)			
CENT_AC	39525			54079	39441	39525	
	(.02)			(.01)	(.02)	(.02)	
ROOM_AC	.10428			41429	()	(,	
won_ac	(.60)			(.13)			
FAN_ATIC	. 26593			.57891			
.na_allo	(.43)			(.10)			
ום חעפי	16207						
CENT_FA		· ·		.20092			
	(.39)			(.54)			
I_BASE	.13815			.38537			
	(.63)			(.31)			
CENT_GF	.11750			.32170			
	(.82)			(82.)			
ELEC_SPA	03449			.13368			
	(.92)			(.71)			
1000	21622			25058			
	(.24)			(.19)			
CEROHEAT	.71459			.91013			
	(.24)			(.17)			
AS_STOV	20941			.20125			
	(.54)			(.70)			
EXT_OTHER	.09399			.01911			
	(.72)			(.95)			
ultiple R'		.03009	01912	.24870	.08740	.35156	.13304:
p-value)		(.14)	(.37)	(.10)	(.08)	(.02)	(.07)
			01904	. 20959	()	4	, , , , ,
4-Change		2	4.7.4	. 20934			