SEASONAL VARIATION IN 2-DAY SCREENING MEASUREMENTS OF 222RN

by: Nat F. Rodman, Barbara V. Alexander, and S.B. White Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709

Jeff Phillips and Frank Marcinowski U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Radiation Programs Washington, D.C. 20460

ABSTRACT

This study examines ²²²Rn data from a group of 234 houses in which each level of every house was tested with a 1-year alpha track detector and the lowest livable level was tested four times, once during each of four seasons, with a 2-day charcoal canister. This study focuses on 1) how the seasonal variation in 2-day screening measurements affects the decision to take further action, when based on a single 2-day canister test, 2) how season affects estimates of the annual living area average, and 3) how the average of four seasonal canister readings compares with the 1-year alpha track measurement taken on the same floor.

This paper has been reviewed in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's peer and administrative review policies and approved for presentation and publication.

INTRODUCTION

TO JETTE LEADING TO GO TO THE WEST RESIDENCE FOR STATE

to real earlier. The less

n pricil a la IIB

er estquit i side Short-term screening tests for radon are used to determine if action should be taken to reduce radon levels or if additional testing (usually of one year duration) is needed to more accurately characterize health risks to radon exposures. Radon concentrations vary seasonally (1) and so the result of the short-term screening test will be, in parts a function of the season in

which the test is made.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency provides assistance to states in conducting surveys of indoor radon. A subsample of houses in states beginning their indoor radon surveys during the early part of 1989 were the first to use charcoal canisters in each of four seasons along with 1-year alpha track detectors (ATDs). These data-were used to determine 1) how season affects a decision to take further-action, 2) how season affects estimates of the annual living area average (ALAA), and 3) how the average of four seasonal canister measurements compares, with the 1-year ATD measurement on the same floor.

METHODS

er to cause the second WI st Homeowners were given alpha track detectors for a 1-year deployment and four open-faced charcoal canisters over the course of 12 months. One ATD was placed on each livable level up to a maximum of 4 levels, except for single story homes which received two ATDs. One charcoal canister was exposed on the lowest livable level during each of the four seasons. The homeowners were instructed to use the same location for all four canisters.

organis and the set of the price of the set of

For this analysis, seasons are defined as: Spring: April 1 - June 30 Summer: July 1 - September 30

or personner forementages I hadro ent fut ha tak Houses were required to have: 1) one canister measurement within each season, 2) all canister measurements separated from each other by at least 30 days, 3) floor codes available for at least three of the four canister measurements (i.e., one missing floor code was permitted), 4) all available can sterefloor codes must agree, and 5) can ster floor codes must match the lowest ATD floor code.

All houses were on a permanent foundation and had at least one floor at or below ground level. Analyses were performed separately for basement and nonbasement houses. A basement house is defined as any house where the lowest livable level has at least one wall built against earth. All other houses in - The study were defined to be nonbasement houses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DISTRIBUTION OF SCREENING MEASUREMENTS BY SEASON

A total of 234 houses met the above criteria, 162 basement houses and 72 nonbasement houses. These houses were located in Iowa, Maine, Ohio, Vermont, and West Virginia. Table 1 displays the arithmetic mean, standard deviation, geometric mean, and geometric standard deviation in each of the four seasons, by floor and overall. As reflected by the parameter estimates in Table 1, the distribution of measurements are essentially the same in all seasons except summer. Summer measurements tend to be lower than the other season. a. measurements, the geometric mean was about 15% lower for basement measurements and about 50% lower for first floor measurements in nonbasement houses. Closed-house conditions may not have been maintained during the summer.

:VARIATION: IN SEASONAL SCREENING MEASUREMENTS TOOL TO THE SEASONAL SCREENING MEASUREMENTS TOOL

A coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated for each of the 234 houses (CV = standard deviation of the four seasonal measurements divided by the mean of the four measurements, expressed as-a percentage). The results are --summarized in Table 2. As noted in Table 2, 12 houses were excluded because the mean of the four measurements was less than 0.5° pCf/L and CVs become unstable whenever the denominator of the CV statistic approaches zero.

or De 1

The CVs appear to be about the same regardless of the concentration level, e.g., houses with means of the four seasonal measurements near 2 pCi/L exhibit about the same percentage variation as houses with means of the four measurements near 15 pCi/L. The average CV for all 222 houses was 40%. ero no z www er w mean or successor, estimate

SEASONAL AFFECT ON DECISIONS FOR FURTHER ACTION TO STATE OF STATE

Using 4 pCi/L as the point at which some further action is taken, classifying each seasonal measurement as $4 \, \text{pCi/L}$ or $4 \, \text{pCi/L}$ results in 16 possible patterns of outcome. Two of these patterns give clear decisions: when all four measurements are \$4 pCi/L for all four measurements are \$4 pCi/L. The other 14 patterns give conflicting decisions and are tabulated in Table 3. Of 234 houses, 66 (28%) had conflicting decisions. Thirty-two (48%) of the 66 houses fell into pattern #5 where the winter measurement indicated taking action but the other 3 measurements indicated no action was necessary. nullhirteen (20%) of the 66 houses fell into pattern #3 where the fall, winter, and springs measurements; indicated takings further action but the summer measurement didamot. In 57 (86%) of the 66 houses, the winter and summer modifine as urements idisagreed; showever; in 5 of these, summer indicated taking action while winter indicated no action was necessary. These 5 houses were all. basement houses, 3 in Iowa and 2 in Maine; all were 2-story (plus basement) except one Iowa house which was 1-story (plus basement).

SEASONAL AFFECT ON ESTIMATING ALAA

The following model (2) was used to estimate the relationship between 2day screening tests and ALAA (annual Fiving area average):

Table 1. Summary Statistics for Houses Tested in Each of Four Seasons

- III I LILLA OL CAR	ALL PROPERTY.			h		
Type of Houses House	Measurement	Parameter*	Winter (DecMar.)	Spring (AprJune)	Summer (July-Sept.)	Fall (OctNov.)
Basement 162 10	LiBasemento:	AM	5.9	6.0	5.0	5.6
		SD GM	5.1 3.9	5.7 4.0	3.3	4.8
f. + 1 - 1 1	4.9	GSD	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.5
Nonbasement 72	1st Floor	AM	2.1 3.2	2.1	1.1	2.3
1.7.0	4.5	GM +1 =	1.1	11.2	0.6	1.3
: 1 - 6 0	1	GSD	3.1,	2.9	3.4	3.0
All Houses 234	Lowest Level	AM SD	4.8	4.8 5.3	3.8 4.3	4.6
		GM	2.7	2.8	1.9	1 2.8
in the second second second second	$i\sqrt{t} = \hat{h}_0 \frac{1}{2} 30 \hat{h}_0$	GSD 1	3.3	3.1	3.7	3.0

1.11

^{*} AM = arithmetic mean is not an area from the season SD = standard deviation

GM = geometric mean GSD = geometric standard deviation

تو

4:1

ton geometric standard devisition

Table 2 to Coefficient of Variation (CV) Versus Radon Concentration Level for Individual Houses Tested in Each of Four Seasons

Mean Concentration pCi	/L No. Houses	Mean CVI/	Standard	Deviation of C	Vs Minimum CV	Maximum CV
<.0.5 2/				* *		
0.5 - 2.0	10 maz 1 10 75	45.0		22.4	6.8	135.2
2.1 - 4.0	48	42.1		17.7	13.9	74.2
4.1 - 6.0	34	35.1		16.8	2.9	78.0
6.1 - 10.0	40	31.6	-	16.1	9.5	75.5
10.1 - 15.0	17	m 39.7	7 %	17.8	20.7	71.5
3 15.0	1.1 1100 8	40.7		20.5	13.5	<u>77.7</u>
Overall	222	39.9		19.6	2, 9	135.2

^{1/} The standard deviation of the four measurements on a given house when multiplied by 100 and divided by the mean concentration provides an estimate of the relative seasonal variability or coefficient of variation (CV) for that house.

Table 1. Substanty Mer. 1841 1. 1.

^{2/} All 12 houses with mean concentration < 0.5 pCi/L were excluded from this analysis because of instability of CVs at low concentrations.

Number of Houses With and Patterns of Occurences of Table 3. Conflicting Decisions Based on Four Seasonal Measurements of Indoor Radon in Each of 234 Houses

		Seaso	on	
Pattern	Winter	Spring	Summer Fall	No. Houses
1	=	+	+ . +	
2	+	Alan Mill	+ + +	5
3	+	~ + ~	+	13
4	+	* + TE		1
5	+	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 32
6	-	+ ,		. 1
7	-	···	+ 644 -	4.
8		-	- 85 +	1
9	- 1		+ >, +	0
10	•	+		1
11	•	_ t_ +	+ ~~	0
12	+	+		3
13	+	· ₹55	+ -	0. ~
14	+ .	-	- +	_4
		8		66
		-	122	

artic stabilities to some maken

milian indiaba.

mer Bratters

man in above

1 2111 .. to predent lates of

-0

· Wallett

16:40.7:11

MANTHER TELES

STATE OF THE SALE

^{+ =} measurement is greater than 4 pCi/L - = measurement is equal to or less than 4 pCi/L

Table 4. Relationship Between Annual Living Area Average and 2-Day Canister Measurements by House Type and Season

Type of House	Season						
Parameter	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall			
Basement							
No. Houses	147	147	147	147			
Prediction Equation	$\hat{Y} = 1.23 + .53X$ (.17)* (.03)	$\hat{Y} = 1.32 + .53X$ (.18) (.03)	$\hat{Y} = 1.67 + .54$ (.22) (.05	$X \hat{Y} = .92 + .63X$			
Residual Error, $\hat{\sigma}$	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.37			
Correlation	0.78	0.80	0.70	0.83			
Nonbasement							
No. Houses	66	66	66	66			
Prediction Equation	$\hat{Y} = .93 + .79X$ (.12) (.06)	$\hat{Y} = .75 + .87X$ (.12) (.07)	$\hat{Y} = 1.37 + 1.0$ (.21) (.18	$1X \hat{Y} = .75 + .82X$			
Residual Error, ô	0.28	0.29	0.50	0.24			
Correlation	0.90	0.86	0.55	0.94			

^{*} Standard error of estimate given in parentheses.

 $Y_i^{1/2} = (a + b\hat{X}_i^2)^{1/2} + e_i$

where

- ALAA in the ith house, calculated as the mean of ATD measurements, one from each floor, except for single story houses which had two measurements taken on the same floor.
- = 2-day charcoal canister measurement in the ith house taken in the basement for basement homes and on the first floor in nonbasement homes. homes, $a_1b = parameters to be estimated, and$

 - * * * e; andom error for the ith house, assumed to be normally distributed with mean 0 and variance σ^2 .

Eight different models were determined, one for basement houses and one for nonbasement houses in each of the four seasons. Of the original 234 houses, 21 were deleted from this analysis for the following reasons: 15 due to missing ATD measurements and 6 due to ATD exposure being less than 325 days. All remaining houses had ATDs exposed for >325 days and <395 days and all ATD start dates, within the same house, were within 30 days of each other. The results are summarized in Table 4. Major conclusions are:

- · 1:3 F''1' The prediction equation, residual error and correlation for basement houses are basically the same for all seasons. For example, given a 2-day measurement of 4 pCi/L in each season, the predicted annual living area average for the four seasons varies from 3.4 to 3.8
- b) The prediction equation for nonbasement houses is basically the same for all seasons. For example, given a 2-day measurement of 4 pCi/L in each season, the predicted annual living area average for the four seasons varies from 4.0 to 5.4 pCi/L--the-summertime equation gave the highest predicted value.
- Inseach of the four seasons, the prediction equation for basement houses differs significantly from the prediction equation for nonbasement houses. -- For example, the coefficient of X for basement houses varies from 0.53 to 0.63 for the four seasons, whereas the coefficient of X for nonbasement houses varies from 0.82 to 1.01 for c, the four seasoning crop one one was an analysis of the contract of the cont the four season aschool
- The intercepts in all equations shown in Table 4 are significantly greater than zero, that is, the fitted equation does not pass ! through the origin This would suggest that the ratio-model Y/X=b (or Y=bX) is not an appropriate model for analyzing long-term versus short-term relationships since it assumes an equation that passes through the origin. ..

THE MEAN OF FOUR SEASONAL MEASUREMENTS VS 1-YEAR ATD MEASUREMENTS

22.2 PAR 12

57711 55

Of the original 234 houses with four seasonal screening measurements 217 had 1-year ATD measurements taken on the same floor, with an exposure period >325 days and <395 days. These houses were used to compare the mean of 4 seasonal measurements with a corresponding 1-year ATD measurement on the same floor. The results are shown in Table 5.

The 1-year ATD measurements tend to be higher than the mean of the four canister measurements, an average of .82 pCi/L higher in basement houses and .77 pCi/L in nonbasement houses. The geometric mean of 1-year ATDs is 14% higher than for short-term seasonal measurements in basement houses and about 67% higher than for short term measurements taken on the first floor in nonbasement houses. Both are statistically significant at p=0.01.

CONCLUSIONS

In this sample of 234 houses, 66(28%) had conflicting results in one or more seasons indicating the need to take further action. Thirty-two (48%) of these 66 had their winter measurement >4 pCi/L while the spring, summer, and fall measurements were \$4 pCi/L; thirteen (20%) of the 66 had fall, winter, rand spring measurements >4 pCi/L while the summer measurement was \$4 pCi/L. ·Currently, EPA recommends additional testing if a screening measurement exceeds 4 pCi/L. Also, EPA recommends mitigation if an annual measurement exceeds 4 pCi/L. Houses with a true concentration of around 4 pCi/L will have the largest chance for error. With a CV of 40%, the standard deviation of canister measurements for concentrations of 3, 4, and 5 pCi/L will be 1.2, 1.6, and 2.0 respectively.

a ero act coarest st The prediction equation for estimating ALAA from 2-day screening measurements does not differ by season. However the equations do differ by house type, basement versus nonbasement, with the nonbasement houses having the larger coefficient. The intercepts for all equations are significantly cogreater than zero.

canister measurements. Quality control conducted on blank ATDs indicated a positive bias due to leaky bags used for storage and mailing. equation for dasement

THEMSELS TO THE THE TOTAL THE TEST OF THE REFERENCES . RERUST THEMSELS TO THE RESERVOR

- nouses war as from 0.50 to 1.50 for the four seasons, wheness tre different techniques and sampling periods for measuring 2 decay products. Health Physics 55:801-807:1988. met mill nedeer?
- 2.22 White, S.B., Clayton, C.A., and Alexander, B.V. A statistical analysis: predicting annual 22 Rn. concentrations from 2-day screening tests. Paper _____presented at The 1990 International Symposium on Radon and Radon Reduction Fechnology, Atlanta, Georgia. February 19-23, 1990.

Comparison of Annual Concentrations Based on 1-Year Alpha Track Measurements and Four Seasonal Charcoal Canister Measurements Table 5.

			Instrument		
Type of House	No. of Houses	Parameter*	ATD	Four Canister Measurements	
Basement	151	- AM SD	6.52 5.43	5.70 4.48	
		GM GSD	4.70 2.44	4.11 2.38	
Nonbasement	66	AM SD GM GSD	2.74 2.75 2.02 2.05	1.97 2.40 1.21 2.61	

AM = arithmetic mean

SD = standard deviation

GM = geometric mean GSD = geometric standard deviation.