

Domastic chargy fact the

LD Shottock G Henderson and J H F Born



Building Research Establishment Report

# **Domestic energy fact file**

L D Shorrock, BA, PhD G Henderson, BSc, MSc J HF Bown\*, MA

OSCAR FABER LIBRARY

REC'D - 3 JUN 1902

LOCATION

\*NBA Tectonics

Building Research Establishment Garston Watford WD2 ?JR

Price lists for all available BRE publications can he obtained from: BRE Bookshop Building Research Establishment Garston. Watford. WD2 7JR Telephone: *0923* 664444

 $\dot{r}$ 

 $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ 

 $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_i$  or

BR220 ISBN 0 85 125 524 8

© Crown copyright 1992 First published January 1992

Applications to reproduce extracts from the text of this publication should be made to the Publications Manager at the Building Research Establishment

# **Purpose of this publication**

The purpose of this publication is to gather together in one volume some of the more important data on domestic energy and the measures that have been taken to improve the efficiency with which it is used.

Most of the tables relate to national totals and will be mainly of use to Government Departments and others interested in research or planning at that level.

The present edition covers the period from 1970 (just before the first oil crisis) until 1989, the most recent year for which most of the figures are available.

This is the second edition of the Domestic energy fact file. The first edition appeared in 1989 and presented information covering the years from 1970 to 1986. This edition updates the data to 1989 and also introduces some new topics and expands on some of the original topics. Many of the figures for years up to 1986 have been slightly revised in the light of more recent information.

Comments which were received on the first edition have been taken into account in producing this edition. Further editions will be produced in future if there is a continuing demand for the information.

# **Contents**



## **References and sources**

**UM CORRECTAMENTOS** 

40

m

# **Outline of the domestic energy fact file**



# $\overline{0}$  Introduction



**MARINE AS A SERVICULAR CONSUMING STATISTIC CONSUMING INTERNATIONAL** 

2

**PERMIT ALL SCHOOLS OF** 

been assumed fixed at 26.375 PJ per million tonnes of coal . In reality. the factor varies

slightly from year to year according to the calorific value of the primary fuels.

.<br>2007. The constitution of the

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



The complete that the control of a control of the control

<u> produktivní vedece výstupní starovní </u>

**WEST RANGE** 

The state of the company of the state of the company of the state of the state of the state of the state of the

#### **Occupied dwellings and energy use**

Figures for the national housing stock are published in Housing and Construction Statistics<sup>1</sup>. Mid-year figures from that publication have been used as a source for many of the tables in this report. However, buildings do not use energy: people use energy. Consequently, it is the number of occupied dwellings which is important, rather than the total number of dwellings. For this reason, a factor has been applied to the stock figures to eliminate unoccupied dwellings. The resulting figures appear in the tables under the heading 'Total houses' .

Except where the context calls for a specific distinction to be made between houses and flats, the term 'house' is used to mean all types of dwelling.

## **BREHOMES**

Sections 1, 2 and 3 present factual statistical information. Sections 4, 5 and 6 present some factual statistical information but also make use of estimates which have been made by using the Building Research Establishment Housing Model for Energy Studies (BREHOMES}. The source for such estimates is simply quoted as being BREHOMES. It is beyond the scope of this report to explain fully the origins of such estimates because the explanations would be lengthy and they would require an understanding of the BREHOMES model itself. A very brief description follows which should help the reader appreciate, in broad terms, the essential features of the BREHOMES model. The model has been described in detail elsewhere <sup>2</sup>.

BREHOMES is a physically based model of energy use in the housing stock which uses the Building Research Establishment Domestic Energy Model (BREDEM)<sup>3</sup>, to calculate the energy use of individual categories of dwelling. Over 400 categories of dwelling are defined according to age, built form, tenure and the ownership of central heating. The contributions of each category are calculated and summed to produce an estimate of the housing stock energy use. The estimate is refined by small changes to the internal temperature assumptions until it exactly matches the actual housing stock energy use. When this has been achieved , the model can then be used for predictive purposes.

The information needed to run the BREHOMES model is derived from the statistical sources used for the tables in sections 1, 2 and 3 of this report, amongst others. One particular source which is not used directly for the tables in this report but which forms an important input to BREHOMES is the English House Condition Survey *<sup>4</sup> •* These sources contain much information related to energy use in housing but they are not sufficient individually to form a coherent picture of the energy use of the housing stock. BREHOMES provides the means to bring the information together in a form which does allow a coherent picture to be developed, as described above. As a part of this process. estimates emerge for some important quantities which can not actually be measured or be readily determined from the statistical sources alone. Examples of some of these, such as the heat loss of the average dwelling, appear in section 4 of this report.

Table a and Figure a show the total United Kingdom delivered energy consumption between 1970 and 1989 Also shown is the domestic sector consumption for the United Kingdom and for Great Britain. Figures for Great Britain have been estimated using the United Kingdom figures and regional figures from the Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics*5•* 

Domestic sector energy consumption is defined as energy used in dwellings. It excludes petrol use for family cars (which is classified separately under transport) and energy used in residential establishments such as hotels.

#### · **Table a: Delivered energy consumption 1970 - 89**



Source: Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics

#### **Figure a: Delivered energy consumption 1970 - 89**



# [I] **Fuel prices, income and energy expenditure**

THE STRIKE TO STRIKE THE STRIKE STRIKE TO

about commencements and a



# **Retail price index and the index of fuel prices**

AND CONSTRUCTIONS ORIGINAL SERVICE

--

Table 1.i and Figure 1.i illustrate the change in the index of fuel prices as against the index of retail prices. Clearly there have been times when fuel prices were rising more rapidly than retail prices. Equally. there have been times when the opposite was true, Over the whole period. however. the two price indices have roughly kept pace with one another.

 $\label{eq:3.1} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \end{minipage} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \end{minipage} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \end{minipage} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \end{minipage} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \end{minipage} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \end{minipage} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth}$ 

#### Notes **Notes 1.i: Retail price index and fuel price index**



Source: Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics

# **Figure 1.i: Retail price index and fuel price index**



The political program and the state state

<sup>~</sup>**=w** 

#### **Notes**

Table 1.ii and Figure 1.ii show the average domestic fuel prices corrected, using the retail price index figures from Table 1.i, to 1989 money values. These prices are inclusive of any standing charges and encompass all relevant tariffs

Clearly, the weighted mean shows very little variation between 1970 and 1989 - confirming the conclusion reached by comparing price indices.

Individual fuels, however, have shown quite marked changes. Figure 1.ii shows quite clearly the oil price shocks and the slump in prices in the mid-1980s. To some extent, electricity prices follow a similar pattern.

#### **Table 1.ii: Domestic sector fuel prices corrected to 1989 money values**



Source: Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics

#### **Figure 1.ii: Domestic sector fuel prices corrected to 1989 money values**



THE THE TIME OF THE COLUMN TWO TELEVISIONS OF CONSTRUCTIONS OF THE COLUMN TWO COLUMNS OF THE COLUMN TWO COLUMNS OF

FOR RESIDENCE AND CONTROLLED CONTROLLED TO CONTROLLED TO THE CONTROLLED CONTROLLED AND CONTROLLED TO A VEHICLE OF A STATE O

Table 1. iii gives average household weekly expenditures on fuel, light and power and on all goods. The first two columns of Table 1.iii show the actual expenditures year by year, while the next two columns show these same expenditures deflated by the Retail Price Index from Table 1.i. These corrected expenditures are also shown graphically in Figure 1.iii.

<sup>~</sup>'?':"'! ~ ,.,.,,

It is clear that, in real terms. expenditure on all goods has increased noticeably as incomes have increased, whilst expenditure on fuel. light and power has remained relatively constant. Consequently, as a proportion of total expenditure. the expenditure on fuel, light and power has declined slightly from about 6% to about 5% between the early 1970s and the late 1980's (see final column of Table 1.iii).

The average fuel price, as well as the average expenditure on fuel, light and power. has remained relatively constant in real terms. Thus one concludes that the average energy consumption per household also remained relatively constant. In fact as shown in section 4, the average energy consumption per household has actually declined very slightly. In real terms. households have not. in general, increased their energy use as their incomes have increased. At the same time, it is clear that the levels of service being achieved have improved markedly (see for example, the growth in central heating illustrated in Figure 4 iii). This apparent paradox can be resolved by considering physical factors. as discussed in the following sections.

#### **Table 1.iii: Average weekly expenditures on all goods, fuel, light and power**

-



Source: Family Expenditure Survey <sup>6</sup>

#### **Figure 1.ili: Average weekly expenditures on all goods, fuel, light and power at 1989 prices**



Table 1.iv examines the effect of income on energy expenditure in more detail This can only readily be done for a single year. Clearly, the year of greatest interest is the most recent one for which the data exists - 1989.

,. \_\_ - • *.11..iJ•* :.:~ .. ~:.·\_ • ~.-. -.-\_ -••

Table 1.iv shows average weekly expenditure on all goods and on fuel , light and power related to weekly income. The proportion of income spent on fuel. light and power varies between less than 3% for the most well off households up to about 13% for the lowest income households. The problems of the lower income groups are clear from the 'all goods' expenditure figures - many households, up to incomes of about £150 per week, have expenditures which are greater than their incomes. There are about 6 million low income households altogether and many of them are likely to be achieving a lower level of service than they would wish - ie they are more likely to be living in colder houses and be more anxious to spend any extra income they might have on warmth.

Figure 1.iv shows how expenditure on fuel, light and power varies with income. The slope of the line up to about £150 per week income implies an extra 2. 1 pence spent on energy for every additional pound of income. Above£ 150 per week income the slope is much shallower at only 0.7 pence per additional pound of income. It is probable that these households spend all that they want to on warmth and other energy services The shallow slope of the line is to be expected because those with higher incomes will tend to live in larger houses and so they will need to spend more on heating, lighting, etc.

#### - **Table 1.iv: Weekly expenditure on fuel, light and power by income - 1989**

.<br>Rathce ritual distribution at the construction of the contract of the construction of the construction of the



Source: Family Expenditure Survey

•The income ranges should be interpreted as meaning up to, but not including, the higher figure.

#### **Figure 1.lv: Weekly expenditure on fuel, light and power by income - 1989**



[I] **Population, households and the housing stock** 



PEAR OF A RESOLUTION OF THE RESOLUTION OF THE COLLECTION OF THE COLLECTIONS OF THE SECONDATION OF THE COLLECTION OF THE SECOND OF THE COLLECTION OF THE SECOND OF THE

 $\rightarrow$  :

السافة على المائية التحالية الأمال الأشكار المسائر المائية المسائرة على المتحدة أول المائية المائية المائية الم<br>المنظمة المسائلة المنظمة الأمال الأشكار المسائرة المسائرة المناسبة المناسبة المناسبة المسائرة المناسبة المناس

179.00002700000

Table 2 i shows figures for the total population of Great Britain and the number of households These figures are also illustrated in Figure 2,i The population has been growing at an average rate of about 0.15% per year. whilst the number of households has been rising at an average rate of about 1% per year.

Thus , the number of occupants per household has been declining. There has been a trend towards smaller family groups and single occupancy Although a dwelling with fewer occupants will generally consume less energy than one with more occupants, there is, for a given level of service, a consumption which would be required regardless of the number of occupants. Thus, any trend towards smaller households would lead. all other things being equal, to a greater consumption per capita.

The last column of Table 2.i shows figures for the mean household size taken from the General Household Survey'. The figures in the column to the left have been obtained by a simple division of households into population. The figures in the last column are lower and more appropriate for assessing energy demand in dwellings. They exclude certain categories of the national population residents of institutions, members of the armed forces and others not living in dwellings.

#### - **Table 2.i: Population, households, and household size**



Sources: Population - Annual Abstract of Statistics<sup>8</sup>.

Households - Housing and Construction Statistics (see note in Introduction) Mean Household Size - General Household Survey

### **Figure 2.i: Households and population**



There are a numoer of age related factors which affect the energy characteristics of houses. The growth of the housing stock is accounted for by :he net effect of new building and the conversion or demolition of older properties. This is illustrated in Table 2.ii and Figure 2 ii

New building work takes place under the stricter thermal requirements of more recent Building Regulations. while the demolition is mostly of older properties built when the regulations were 1ess strict or before any thermal regulations existed.

New standards were introduced nationally via Building Regulations in 1965. 1976. 1982 and 1990; the most significant provisions being for the U-values of roofs ( 1 42, O 6, 0.35 and 0.25 W/m<sup>2 o</sup>C for the four years respectively) and for the U-values of walls (1.7, 1.0, 0.6 and 0.45 W/m<sup>2</sup>°C). The 1990 revision of the Building Regulations introduced, for the first time, a provision for ground floors (U-value of 0.45 W/m<sup>2</sup>°C).

Since the beginning of the century there has been an increase in the number of houses built with cavity walls. Looking at the present stock of houses, only 19% of those built before 1918 have cavity walls. whilst over 96% of those built since 1970 have cavity walls. Un-insulated cavity walls have lower U-values than comparable un-insulated solid walls. Insulation of cavity walls to improve the U-value still further is usually relatively simple and costeffective and can be carried out as a retro-fit measure. Improvement of solid walls is more complicated and is usually only cost-effective where the walls are in need of refurbishment anyway

#### **Table 2.ii: Housing stock distribution by age**



Source: Housing and Construction Statistics.

The source for this table gives a percentage breakdown rather than absolute figures. The source percentages are quoted to the nearest 0.1% and derived from totals which differ from those used above.

#### **Figure 2.ii: Housing stock distribution by age**



14

# Housing stock distribution by tenure

#### Notes

Table 2.iii shows the distribution of the housing stock by tenure. The number of owner occupied houses has grown as a proportion from 50% in 1970 to 66.5% in 1989. In contrast, private rented acommodation mirrored this change - it decreased as a proportion from 19.5% in 1970 to 7 5% in 1989.

The stock of local authority housing increased up to 1981 but it has since declined. In recent years . housing association dwellings have also become a significant part of the total stock (note: data on these is not available prior to 1981).

Figure 2.iii illustrates the changes in the distribution of the housing stock by tenure.

Owner occupiers are more likely to invest in energy efficiency measures than those in rented accomodation. The latier are not directly responsible for their homes and may be limited in what they are allowed to do. For example. 92% of owner occupiers with accessible lofts have their lofts insulated, while the proportion for tenants of private landlords is 73%. For tenants of public sector landlords the corresponding proportion is 89%.

# Table 2.iii: Housing stock distribution by tenure



~· · ....... --· :-., , *:J..* • · ........... ., .:.u.::-.. ~.l61' .. *:;..:..·-* ,-:,,·.!..:.r.~:~ •• :.;:..;.;,,,;.t .. ;::. ---·~..,::.~~~':!...: -.~ • .....;.: #;.\_::. ... • *"':.t.* rj

Source: Housing and Construction Statistics.

Figures from the above source have been adjusted pro-rata to give the same totals of occupied dwellings used elsewhere in this publication.

## Figure 2.iii: Housing stock distribution by tenure



THE CHILD CONTRACTOR OF PERSONAL PROPERTY OF

THE REPRESSION NEW VERSUS AND REPORTED A STATE OF THE CONTRACT OF THE REPORT OF A STATE AND DEVICE A STATE OF THE CONTRACT OF A STATE OF THE STA

## **Note** ' **Table 2.iv: Housing stock distribution by type of dwelling**

Semi-detached and terraced houses together<br>account for more than half of the housing stock  $(30.5\%$  and  $28.9\%$  respectively in 1989). as illustrated in Figure 2.iv

The proportions of different dwelling types have not changed significantly since 1970. as shown in Table 2.iv.

The only appreciable change has been a rise of about 4.6% in the proportion of detached houses (from 10.4% in 1976 to 15.0% in 1989).

A detached house will have a greater surface to volume ratio and , hence. greater heat loss than any other dwelling type built to the same standard using the same materials. Detached houses are also likely to have greater floor areas than other types of dwelling.

Such considerations mean that the characteristic heat losses of different house<br>types are appreciably different. For example, typical heat losses of existing, recently (post 1976) constructed dwellings would be as<br>follows: detached 345 W/°C, semi-detached 260 W/°C, end terrace 260 W/°C, mid terrace 220 W/°C, bungalow 255 W/°C, flats 185 W/°C.

The overall average heat loss for dwellings of this age would be about 260W/°C, compared with an average for the whole stock of 293W/°C (see Table 4.ii).

Clearly, the slight shift towards more detached<br>houses will tend to have the effect of increasing the energy consumption of the housing stock other things being equal.



Source: G&A Home Audit<sup>9</sup>.

Figures have been available from the above source covering the years 1976 to 1989. Figures for previous years have been estimated by extrapolation

#### **Figure 2.iv: Housing stock distribution by type of dwelling**



Because of climatic differences, the space heating energy requirement of houses differs from region to region. For example, in an average year it would require nearly 45% more energy to maintain a given temperature in a house in Scotland than it would for the same house in the South West. Large variations within any one region are also possible

In Table 2.v the housing stock is shown region<br>by region. The order of the columns is from the warmest region to the coldest,

Almost one third of the housing stock is in the South Eastern region of the country, as illustrated in Figure 2.v. This proportion has not changed significantly over the years.<br>1975 Over the period under consideration there has

been very little change in the regional distribution of the housing stock. What change there has been has generally involved<br>movements from colder into warmer regions. The proportion of dwellings in Scotland has decreased by 0.3% and the proportion of Humberside has fallen, for all three regions together, by 2.2%. The proportion of the housing stock in the South West, on the other hand. has risen by 1.5%.

#### **Notes 19.1 19.1 - Table 2.v: Housing stock distribution by region <b>Notes**

.~~~~.~.:.~~~~..,,;.;I~ **..** ~~--.~ ~ .. ). ·.:. \_\_ : ::..:\_ .-.:... ... - . ·'" ' '•:<.-:e.•:;. '- "



Source: Housing and Construction Statistics.

Figures from the above source have been adjusted pro-rata to give the same totals of occupied dwellings used elsewhere in this publication.

## **Figure** 2.v: **Housing stock distribution by region**



BUSINESS LOCATIONS

"E2>" PEPPSE in this percent and the commitment and commit-

The state of the second second the state of the state

# [}] **Insulation**

 $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}$ 

**NUMBER TOWN 2 YEAR OLD** 



**............................ mlll! .....** --~~~~~~~~=====================~..:::.::::::i **..** 

**CONTRACTORS AND CONTRACTORS** 

 $11.7558$ 

mark ministers

TUCU 23012. TERRIRA

.<br>2010/08/2012 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:00 12:00:0

de.

In 1974 there was some loft insulation in 42% of houses which had accessible lofts (see Table 3.i and Figure 3.1). By 1989 the proportion had risen to 88.8%

de Constantino de la constantinación

Among those houses which had loft insulation, the average depth had gone up from 2.2 inches in 1976 to 3.6 inches in 1989.

The figures given in Table 3.i relate to houses with accessible lofts. There are other houses in which the loft space is not now accessible, but which could have been insulated at the time of construction. These would number approximately 476,000 in 1989. Both the latter figure and those for accessible lofts apply strictly to lofts - ie flat roofs are excluded from the figures.

 $\label{eq:convergence} \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ 

### **Notes Table 3.i: Ownership and depth of loft insulation**

**ENTIREASTIC** 



The South Committee Press to the Committee Press of the

Source: G&A Home Audit

The 1988 figure for 'potential' has been obtained by interpolation.



distribution of the class of the construction of

#### **Figure 3.i: Ownership and depth of loft insulation**

**UNIVERSITY** 

#### **·Table 3.ii: Ownership of cavity wall insulation**

In 197 4 about 2 5°·o of cavity walled houses had cavity insulation (see Table 3 ii and Figure 3 ii) By 1989 this had risen to about 20.3%.

Timber framed houses *are.* in this context. included under the definition of cavity walls.

The 1986 figure and later figures for houses with cavity walls have been derived directly from the G & A Home Audit data. The earlier figures for 'potential' have been estimated by back projection from the 1986 figure.



-

Source: G&A Home Audit





**STEET SERIES** 

Double glazing ownership has grown from 7.8% in 1974 to 45.6% in 1989 (see Table 3.iii and Figure 3.iii). This uptake is roughly twice that for cavity insulation even though double glazing is a considerably more expensive measure.

Purely in terms of energy saving, double glazing is rarely cost-effective. It does have other benefits. however. which have proved attractive to householders and encouraged take-up.

When windows have deteriorated to the point where replacement is necessary then the extra expense of installing double glazing rather than single glazing can often be small enough to make the measure cost-effective.

Figures for households owning some double glazing have been collected since 1974. Since 1984 there has been additional information on the number of rooms in which double glazing has been installed. For households with some double glazing in 1989, about two fifths had full double glazing (80% or more of the rooms with double glazing). Over half had double glazing in at least 60% of rooms.

('Double glazing' in this section includes both sealed units and windows with secondary glazing).

#### **·Table 3.iii: Ownership of double glazing**

manuscript weed to entime in their count

**PERMIT ANNUAL PRODUCTION AND PRODUCTION OF A 24 YOM AND RELEASED FOR A 24 YOM AND RELEASED** 



Source: G&A Home Audit

#### **Figure 3.111: Ownership of double glazing**



#### Figures for the ownership of draught stripping have been collected since 1983 (see Table 3.iv and Figure 3.iv).

By 1989 35% of houses had some draught stripping although. in the majority of cases. under 40% of the rooms were treated.

Draught stripping of windows is not likely to be necessary in rooms which have been fitted with good quality double glazing. Thus. although the potential for draught stripping has been quoted in Table 3.iv as the total number of households, this is unlikely to correspond to the true potential. The true potential for draught stripping is probably more reliably related to the number of windows which are still single glazed (which, of course, has been steadily falling as double glazing has been taken up by households). For these reasons the figures quoted in Table 3 iv should be viewed with caution. Bearing this in mind. it is no surprise that Figure 3.iv shows no obvious trends.

### **.Table 3.iv: Ownership of draught stripping**



-

Source: G&A Home Audit





**PERSONAL MARKET** 

#### **Notes**

The energy efficiency measures considered so far are all measures which affect the heat loss through the building envelope. The insulation of hot water tanks is different in that it produces a saving in the cost of supplying domestic hot water rather than a saving in supplying space heating. In fact, this measure reduces the amount of heat lost from the tank to the house and so it actually results in a slight increase in the energy required for space heating.

Insulation of the hot water tank is a particularly cost-effective measure. It is cheap and simple to install and usually pays back in less than a year. In 1976 74% of hot water storage tanks had been insulated (see Table 3.v and Figure 3.v), By 1989 the proportion had risen to almost 94%. The average thickness of insulation was 2.13 inches in 1976, and had gone up only slightly to 2.33 inches by 1989. It should be noted, however, that it is not easy to accurately determine or define the thickness of tank insulation - cylinder jackets have a nominal thickness as sold but the insulation is often compacted somewhat when fitted to the tank.

In 1989 the number of houses with hot water tanks is quoted in Table 3.v as 19,338,000. The total number of occupied dwellings at that date was 21,340,000. The difference of 2,002,000 is largely accounted for by houses with instantaneous water heaters.

#### **Table 3.v: Ownership of hot water tank insulation**



Listerstage statisticsmenterein

Source: G & A Home Audit

G & A Home Audit figures for ownership of hot water tank insulation in 1974 and 1975 have been found to be inconsistent with later data and so have been ignored.

### **Figure 3.v: Ownership of hot water tank insulation**



# **Main trends**

This section presents overall trends in energy consumption in the context of the variables which affect it. Their effects are summed up in Table 4.vii and Figure 4.vii, 'the effect of energy efficiency improvements'.

**CONGRESSION** 

**External temperatures, heat loss, and energy consumption**  Space heating accounts for the major part of energy used in the average home.The strength of the relationship between domestic energy consumption and external temperature depends on the proportion of energy use which is for space heating. This, in turn, depends on the heat loss of the average dwelling. As the heat loss reduces, a greater proportion of the total energy use goes towards end uses which are not related (or, at least, not strongly related) to external temperature.

> The quantity of energy required for space heating is related to external temperature. The insulation measures covered in section 3 will have affected only space heating and water heating consumptions. Tank insulation acts to reduce water heating consumption whilst the other insulation measures reduce space heating consumption. A large part of the improvement in average house heat loss is attributable to loft insulation. Since loft insulation is now reaching a saturation level, it is not surprising to see that the rate of improvement has declined in recent years. There is, however, considerable scope for future acceleration of the rate of improvement through other insulation measures - particularly cavity wall insulation and double glazing.

**Central heating and increased comfort levels**  Other things being equal, space heating would have increased with the increasing proportion of houses having central heating (see Table 4.iii and Figure 4.iii) and with growing expectations of comfort in both centrally heated and non-centrally heated homes (see Table 4.vi and Figure 4.vi). The trends towards more central heating and higher comfort levels will continue until such time as a saturation level is reached, corresponding to the attainment of desired levels of comfort by all households.

> Despite the growth in the ownership of central heating and increasing standards of comfort, space heating energy consumption per household is estimated to have gone down over the period under consideration (see Table 4.v).

**Efficiency of appliances** The rising trends have been offset by better standards of insulation (detailed in section 3 and summarised in Table 4.ii and Figure 4.ii) and improved heating appliance efficiencies (see Figure 4.iv). There has also been an increase in the incidental gains from appliances which has further helped to offset space heating energy requirements.

> Total energy consumption figures include energy that has been used for space heating, water heating, cooking, lighting and the running of electrical appliances. All these categories of energy consumption will have been affected by the increasing numbers of households and by improvements in the efficiencies of appliances. Increasing numbers of households will have tended to raise consumption but improving efficiencies will have acted to reduce consumption.

The delivered energy requirement of houses has undoubtedly been reduced by improvements in the efficiencies of heating appliances. It is difficult to quantify precisely this improvement in terms of an average efficiency in 1970 compared with an average efficiency calculated on the same basis in 1989. Table 4.iv.c attempts to do this. However, before considering this table, it is necessary to look briefly at what is known about improvements to heating appliance efficiencies. The discussion focuses on gas appliances because gas is the most used fuel for heating and so has the greatest influence on overall changes to heating efficiency. Also, detailed data on some other forms of heating is rather sparse.

-



space heating and water heating. Any such allocation is largely a matter of definition or convention. The numbers in Table 4.v need to be viewed with this in mind - they are indicative rather than definitive figures.

<u>is deste contra un ser strumment</u>

## **Energy consumption and external temperatures**

#### **Notes**

, The total delivered energy consumption of the housing stock of Great Britain is shown in Table 4.i.

Between 1970 and 1989 domestic energy consumption rose by 9% from 1,502 PJ to 1,638 PJ. The number of households rose by more than 20% over the same period so that the average energy consumption per household in 1989 was actually less than in 1970 (see Table 4.i). However, 1989 was an unusual year because the winter was noticeably warmer than normal

Table 4.i and Figure 4.i also show the relationship between domestic energy consumption and external temperature. Although there are some anomolies, the low points in the winter temperature generally correspond, as would be expected, with peaks in energy consumption. For example, the effect of the cold winter of 1979 shows up very clearly, as does the effect of the very cold successive winters of 1985, 1986 and 1987.

### **Table 4.i: Domestic energy consumption and external temperatures**

··= ~



Source: Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics.

First quarter and fourth quarter figures for mean air temperatures are given in the Digest of Energy Statistics. The figure shown here is the average of the two quarters.





### **Heat loss of the average dwelling**

#### **Notes**

Values for the heat 1oss of the average dwelling are presented in Table 4.ii and Figure 4.ii. They show how the heat loss of the average dwelling has improved considerably over the years.

The rate of heat loss of a dwelling is usually expressed as the specific rate in Watts per degree Celsius of difference in temperature between the internal and external environments. Hence the notation W/°C in Table 4.ii and Figure 4.ii. As indicated in the table and figure. the specific heal loss rate includes both losses through the fabric of the dwelling and losses by ventilation. The term 'specific heat loss rate' is commonly replaced. for the sake of brevity, by 'heat loss'. This practice has been adopted throughout the Domestic energy factfile.

The heat loss of the average dwelling has been calculated using the BREHOMES model for the years 1976, 1982, and 1984 to 1989 inclusive. Using these figures it 1s possible 10 make good estimates of the heat loss of the average dwelling tor the missing intermediate years. Some slightly more speculative estimates can also be made for the years immediately preceding 1976 - thereby allowing a continuous series to be produced from 1970 10 1989.

The heat losses in Table 4.li and Figure 4.ii are for the average dwelling. For comparison, for dwellings with loft insulation but without cavity insulation (typical of the present stock) the heat loss would range from about 550 W/°C (for a large detached house) to between 100 W/°C and *200* W/"C (for a flat). A typical value for a semi-detached house would be about <sup>290</sup>*wrc* -so that !his house type corresponds quite closely to the average dwelling.

Space heating energy consumption is not directly proportional to the heat loss. This is mainly because of the contribution which is made to meeting the space healing requirement by other sources of heat. These sources of heat consist of the free gains from other energy uses (hear irom electrical appliances, cookers, etc) and natural gains (heat from the dwelllng occupants themselves and from the solar energy which enters the dwelling). If these free gains were to remain constant then a given reduction in dwelling heat loss would result in a more than proportionate decrease in the heat supplied by the space heating system. The BREHOMES model suggests that a 1 % reduction in dwelling heat loss typically results in a 1.5% reduction in the heat supplied by the space heating system.

The final column in Table 4. Ii gives the heat loss of the entire housing stock. This figure is equal ro the heat loss of the average dwelling multiplied by the number of occupied dwellings (and divided by a factor of 10<sup>9</sup> to convert W/°C to gigawatts/°C). The stock heat loss begins to fall from the early 1970s, but in recent years it has changed little - implying that the rate of improvement to the insulation standards of the stock is only just keeping pace with the tendency towards a larger heat loss due to the growth of the stock.

#### **Table 4.ii: Heat loss of the average dwelling and the whole stock**

\_\_ ;: ' -~ ..,\_.. j,, • ,,- <sup>1</sup>



Source: BREHOMES

\* Full calculation has been made for the years marked with an asterisk. Figures for other years have been arrived at by interpolation and extrapolation.

#### **Figure 4.ii: Heat loss of the average dwelling**



## **Central heating ownership**

#### **Notes**

In 1970 34% of homes had central heating. By 1989 the proportion had risen to 78% (see Table 4.iii and Figure 4.iii).

FOR THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY AND RELEASED AT A 2000 AND LODGED AND LODGED AND LODGED AND LODGED AND LODGED AND

Central heating appliances are generally more efficient than individual room heating appliances so, for any given requirement for useful space heat in a dwelling, they would be expected to use less delivered energy.

However, installation of central heating is usually associated with a considerable increase in the occupants' comfort expectation, particularly in respect of the number of rooms heated - and, hence, an increase in the useful heat requirement. As a result, unless there is a concurrent improvement in insulation, an average centrally heated house would require about twice as much delivered energy for space heating as would a similar house in which only the living room is heated.

This proportion would be higher for a house with poor levels of insulation. On the other hand, it would be lower for a well insulated house where heat transfer from the living room can often achieve comfort temperatures throughout the house. In a very well insulated house, therefore, it may only be necessary to install a simple system of one or two room heaters instead of a full central heating system.

#### **Table 4.iii: Central heating ownership**



COMMERCIAL MONETARY ARRESTS AND PRODUCED MEN

**a** 

Source: G&A Home Audit

Figures from the above source have been adjusted pro rata to give the same totals of occupied houses as those used elsewhere in this publication.

#### **Figure 4.iii: Central heating ownership**



Tables 4.iv.a and 4.iv.b give the main form of heating in winter. between 1970 and 1989. for centrally heated and non-centrally heated

Although it is difficult to quantify precisely the actual average seasonal efficiencies of appliances in use in the housing stock, some indication can be given, based on the available data. Tables 4.iv.a and 4.iv.b include typical efficiencies in use for each type of appliance. Figures are given for both the start and end of the period under consideration

Electric systems have been shown as having an efficiency of 100%. This is physically correct because 100% of the energy delivered is converted into heat within the dwelling. There are no flue/chimney losses as with fossil fuel based heating. However, some of the heat which is delivered by an electric storage system may be released into the dwelling at times when it is not required. This effect is<br>sometimes allowed for by reducing the heater efficiency - and so some authors quote<br>efficiencies below 100%. It is better allowed for by calculating the temperature within the<br>dwelling according to how the heater releases<br>the stored heat - but keeping the efficiency at the physically correct value of 100%.

The equivalent figures in the previous edition of this report were derived from the General Household Survey, rather than the G & A Home Audit. The relevant figures are no<br>longer available from the former source and longer available from the former source and  $End$  64 100 100 66 64 so the latter has been used to revise all figures. There are some differences between the figures derived from these different<br>sources - in particular, the  $G$  &  $A$  Home Audit data suggests a higher proportion of homes heating with electric storage systems. This may be due to different conventions in deciding how many storage heaters are required before a system would be classified as equivalent to central heating.

#### **Notes Table 4.iv.a: Main form of heating - centrally heated dwellings**

.., ; *.: ...* s;.:J- ~-.., \_ ., ~ ;,-1 •. \_::,•, · .... .::., ·;,: .. ; *. :,* -- -·. ,-,,; C!£l?L.,,'i=3t~ "111



#### Table 4.iv.b: Main form of heating - non-centrally heated dwellings



-~..,n,.~~-.,..!y~~~.,,.·-~r-·~-y~""="~·~~ **.........** """',......~~~~.,..,.

Source: G&A Home Audit

ä

In estimating the average level of heating appliance efficiency more confidence can be placea in the improvement over the years than in the aosolute values. In the past. average efficiencies have been influenced more by changes from one fuel to another (ie largely from solid fuel to gas) and from individual fires to cemral heating, rather than through improvements in the efficiency of particular appliances. In the future, the latter effect would be expected to play the dominant role now that gas is firmly established as the preferred fuel for heating and substantial improvements are possible through the introduction of condensing appliances.

From the mix of appliances it is possible to calculate values for the weighted average efficienc ies of all heating appliances (see Table 4.iv.c and Figure 4.iv). For central heating systems the average efficiency actually goes down because of the diminishing proportion represented by electric systems. Overall. however, there has been a substantial improvement in heating efficiency.

### -**Table 4.iv.c: Weighted average space heating efficiencies**



Source: BREHOMES

These are average efficiencies, weighted in accordance with the number of appliances of different types.

Variations between years reflect changes between fuels and appliances.

#### **Figure 4.iv: Weighted average space heating efficiencies**



-

Table 4.v and Figure 4.v show the breakdown of domestic energy use between different end uses. As noted earlier, these figures are a little more tentative than some of the others in this report (see page 24.).

It ls beyond the scope of this report to give exact details of how the estimates in Table 4.v have been obtained. The following is a brief summary of the methodology.

The figures in Table 4.v show total delivered energy for each end use. Although it is not evident from the table. the figures were actually calculated fuel by fuel and then totalled. For electricity the breakdown between end uses follows estimates which have been made by the former Electricity Council for all years up to 1986/7. The figures have been extrapolated slightly to provide estimates up to 1989

The electricity estimates have then been used to derive figures for the consumptions of other fuels. For example. knowing the number of households using different fuels for cooking, together with the cooker efficiencies relative to electric cookers. lt is possible to deduce the total delivered energy for cooking.

For lights and appliances, it has been assumed that this is all accounted for by electricity (not quite correct, but gas appliances are so rare that it is a reasonable assumption). It is interesting to note that the consumption for lights and appliances has risen considerably over the years - although this end use still represents a relatively small part of the total delivered energy.

Water heating consumption by fuels other than electricity has been based on an estimate of average volume of hot water used per person together with figures on the proportions of households heating water using different fuels. The volume of hot water used per person has been assumed to rise in proportion to household incomes. The overall efficiencies of<br>water heating appliances include an element to adjust for the loss from the hot water tank and this reduces according to the level of tank insulation.

Having estimated the delivered energy by fuel for each of the above mentioned end uses. and knowing the total domestic energy consumption by fuel. the space heating energy use is obtained as the difference.

In all years the space heating consumption is estimated to be slightly more than half of the total consumption. This proportion is entirely in line with what would be expected for an average dwelling. The amount of heat provided by the space heating system is always less han the space heating requirement of a dwelling. Heat is also released into the house from appliances. lighting, cooking. etc. A large part of these free gains make a useful contribution towards meeting the space heating requirement. This, in turn, means that. should there be a slightly incorrect allocation between space heating and other end uses. it will not have as great an effect on calculated internal temperatures. or on estimates of potential energy savings through insuiation, as might be expected.

#### **Table 4.v. Domestic energy consumption by end use**

ARRESTS FOR AN IMPORTANT IN THE SHIP ARRENGEMENT COMMISSIONERS



Source: BREHOMES

The breakdown of electricity consumption figures is based on the Electricity Council's Domestic Sector Analysis<sup>11</sup>.

#### Figure 4.v: Domestic energy consumption by end use



*CONTRACTOR CONTRACTORY COMPANY* 

NORTHWEST CONTRACTOR

The average household used 84 6 GJ of energy in 1970. In 1989 the figure was actually lower at 76.8 GJ However. 1989 was a warmer than average year The corresponding space heating figures were 51.1 GJ in 1970 and 43.4 GJ in 1989 (see Table 4 v). For the colder years of 1985 to 1987 the average space heating consumptions were all slightly above 50 GJ.

The space heating energy consumption per house. therefore. appears to have remained very stable between 1970 and 1989 despite the large growth in the ownership of central heating from 34% to 78%.

The effect of the increase in central heating must have been to raise average dwelling temperatures Broad estimates can be made of the magnitude of this rise. By running heat balance equations for all the years in question it is possible to deduce a 24 hour average internal temperature during the six 'winter' months. The results of these calculations are shown in Table 4.vi and Figure 4.vi.

The absolute values of these temperatures can not be quoted with as much confidence as estimates of the extent of the rise. However. the general level of temperatures in houses has been suggested by a number of surveys. One such survey, carried out in February and March 1978 <sup>12</sup> gave the average daytime temperature of occupied dwellings as approximately 17°C and 14°C for centrally heated and non-centrally heated houses respectively. The 24 hour averages would be slightly lower than these values .

The temperature rise over the period for both centrally heated and non-centrally heated houses is estimated to be 2.25°C. Due to the move towards more central heating, however, the rise in the average temperature across all houses is estimated to be higher at nearly 3.4°C. Table 4.vi indicates how much scope there is for further increases in mean internal temperatures .

It would be expected that, eventually, the average temperature will stabilise as more and more households achieve their desired comfort levels. For most people, a living room temperature during occupied periods of about 21°C would be regarded as comfortable. A temperature perhaps 2°C below this would generally be considered adequate elsewhere in the dwelling, so that a whole house average comfort level might be around 19-20°C. Achieving this temperature throughout a dwelling for 24 hours per day, therefore, could be taken to be an ultimate comfort level beyond which most people would not wish to go. At current rates of increase this level could be reached in perhaps 30 years time.

#### **·rable 4.vi: Standards of comfort - mean internal and average winter external temperatures**

ENNANN ER EN SAMMEN SIG DANSKE FRANCISKE STANDARD



Source: BREHOMES

#### **Figure 4.vi: Standards of comfort - mean internal and average winter external temperatures**



Table 4. vi suggests that an average 24 hour temperature of 12.8°C was achieved in 1970 when the national domestic energy consumption was 1,502 PJ and that an average temperature of 16.1°C was achieved in 1989 when consumption was 1.638 PJ.

If insulation and efficiency levels had remained as they were in 1970, how much more energy would now be required to maintain the average 1989 internal temperatures?

In Table 4.v1 internal temperatures were calculated from a given consumption of energy. In Table 4, vii the calculation is reversed. Energy consumption is calculated from a given level of temperature. The table shows the consumptions calculated for each year using the temperatures from Table 4.vi but assuming that the insulation and efficiency levels are those for 1970. Figure 4.vii illustrates the results graphically.

The 1989 consumption is calculated to be 2.405.1 PJ. which is 767. 1 PJ more than the accual consumption of 1,638.0 PJ. Of this difference. 508.4 PJ would be ascribed to improvements in insulation and 258. 7 PJ to improved heating efficiency. Thus. it may be concluded that energy efficiency measures applied 10 housing have resulted in a saving of roughly 32% relative to what the consumption would have been without those measures

Readers should be aware. however, that there are a number of conceptual difficulties in the definition of savings achieved by energy etficiency measures. For example. it has been assumed that 1989 households would maintain 1989 temperatures despite having 1970 insulation standards. In reality, the temperature would probably be allowed to drop from the 1989 level both by deliberate choice and for reasons of building physics {the 24 hour temperature in a dwelling, for any given intermittent heating pattern, depends on the insulation standard - it is lower in a poorly Insulated dwelling than in a well insulated dwelling). The problem is, of course, that there is no way of knowing by how much the temperature would have been allowed to fall in practice.

The calculated figure of 767.1 PJ is, therefore. hypothetical but it does give a good indication of the quantity of energy lhat has been saved by energy efficiency measures. The definition used is actually a measure of two things - the energy saved and the energy value of improved comfort standards.

#### Table 4.vii: The effect of energy efficiency improvements on energy consumption



Source: BREHOMES

#### Figure 4.vii: The effect of energy efficiency improvements on energy consumption



SAMPLEM PROTECTIVE AND PRESCRIPTION AND CREDIT CONTACT AND A CHARGE CONTACT

# **6** How domestic sector energy consumption is determined

A CONTRACTOR AND ACTIVITY OF THE CONTRACTOR



mezz

The company of the company and

THE TELEVISION OF THE REPORT OF THE CONTRACT OF THE REPORT OF THE CONTRACT OF

# **Predicting domestic energy consumption**

# parts old t

-

Table 5.i illustrates how the changes in each variable have combined to produce the overall housing stock energy consumption.

- $\bullet$  CH% In the first column is the consumption of the average dwelling increasing by 0.82<br>GJ per 1% growth in the ownership of central heating. It assumes no improvements in heat loss or heating efficiency and that the external temperature is the long term average
- Te In the next column the variations to be expected from fluctuating external temperature are introduced. The temperature variation alters the consumption by 4.77 GJ per °C change.
- $\bullet$   $\Delta H$  In the third column, the effect of improving heat loss is added in. For each 1 W/°C improvement the consumption falls by  $0.29$  GJ.
- $\bullet$   $\Delta$ E In the fourth column the effect of<br>improving heating efficiency is added in. For each percentage point improvement in the efficiency the consumption falls by 1.12 GJ.
- $\bullet$  N In the fifth column the figure in the fourth column (which is an estimate of the average<br>dwelling consumption) is multiplied up by the number of households. It can be seen that the figures in the fifth column are in quite good agreement with the actual housing stock consumptions shown in the final column. In fact. the difference between the predictions and the actual consumptions has a standard deviation of only 43 PJ, le the predictions are generally within about 2.5% to 3% of the<br>actual consumptions.

The level of agreement between the<br>predictions and the actual consumptions can<br>also be gauged from Figure 5.i. It is clear that the equation which has been derived describes the observed changes to the housing stock energy consumption quite well.

predict future housing stock consumption. given estimates of the relevant variables for the years under consideration. Such projections would probably be reasonably robust in the short term (timescales of less than 10 years)<br>but would be expected to be less reliable but would be expected to be less reliable 1,500 -----. beyond that - because central heating . ..... \_ ... ~-" ownership would then be close to saturation and so other level of service effects would become dominant. Even so, it would be<br>
become dominant. Even so, it would be<br>
expected that many levels of service would<br>
have saturated or would be nearing saturation expected that many levels of service would have saturated or would be nearing saturation at about the same time as central heating. In other words. the fairly steady historical growth 500 not be expected to continue indefinitely. **<sup>0</sup>**

#### **Notes Table 5.i: How domestic energy consumption is determined**

~



# In principle, this equation could also be used to **Figure 5.i: Predicted and actual domestic energy use**



# **I**Biddally **Types of fuel, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and primary energy use**



\*\*\*\*

#### **Notes**

.... ~~

During the period 1970 - 1989 there has been a" dramatic change in the proportions of different fuels delivered to the housing stock (see Table 6.1 and Figure 6.1), This is in marked contrast to the relative stability in the total delivered energy use of the housing stock.

The main feature of the changes is the rapid penetration of natural gas. Natural gas supplied less than 5% of the total energy delivered to the housing stock in 1970. By 1989 this proportion had risen to 64%. Town gas. on the other hand, has almost completely disappeared. In 1970 it supplied 20% of the total housing stock demand. Similarly, solid fuel use has declined from 48% of the total to only 11 %, and oil has declined from 9% to 5%. Electricity. on the other hand, has remained at an almost constant proportion of the total· 18% in 1970and20% in 1989.

Although the proportion of delivered energy represented by electricity has remained roughly constant, In absolute terms electricity use has risen by almost 20%. This is despite the fact that electricity use for space heating has declined - from about 87 PJ in 1970 to 58 PJ In 1989. Clearly. the considerable increase in electricity use for llghts and appliances (see Table 4.v) is the driving force behind the net increase in total housing stock electricity use.

THE REPORT OF A REPORT OF THE PARTICULAR

#### **Table 6.i: Energy use of the housing stock by fuel type**



Source: Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics

Figures for Great Britain have been deduced from the United Kingdom figures published in the Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics. For each of the four categories of fuel in the table (solid, gas, electricity and oil) a factor has been applied to give the proportion of that fuel which is consumed in Great Britain. A separate set of factors has been determined for each year.



Superintendent contracts

Table 6.ii and Figure 6.ii show that, in 1970 the carbon dioxide emission associated with the housing stock was about 200 million tonnes . By 1989 this had been reduced to about 150 million tonnes.

These figures include the carbon dioxide emitted at power stations to meet the domestic electricity demand.

The reduction between 1970 and 1989 is due to a number of things:

Firstly. the energy efficiency measures discussed in this report have held domestic energy consumption down and avoided the increase in carbon dioxide emissions which would otherwise have occured. Assuming the same fuel mix as actually applied in 1989. without energy efficiency improvements total domestic consumption would have been about 47% higher (see Table 4 vii) and so carbon dioxide emissions would have been roughly 47% higher than 150 million tonnes, ie about 220 million tonnes.

Secondly, the change in the fuel types used has been important. Burning solid fuel produces roughly twice as much carbon dioxide per unit of delivered energy obtained as does gas, whilst oil is intermediate between the two. Clearly, therefore, the move towards gas and away from solid fuel and oil has had a beneficial effect.

Thirdly. although the electricity use has increased. the emission associated with that electricity use has declined. This has occured because the efficiency of generation, transmission and distribution has improved and because of an increasing proportion of non-fossil fuel derived electricity.

Clearly. however, although electricity use only accounts for about 20% of the housing stock energy use, it contributes a much greater proportion of the carbon dioxide emission. This is because electricity generation in this country is heavily reliant on coal and because conventional thermal power stations are necessarily limited to relatively low efficiencies (about 35% being typical).

Partially compensating for this. of course. is the fact that electricity has a very high end use efficiency - as indicated by the 100% space heating efficiencies quoted in Tables 4.iv a and 4.iv b.

PENSYLVER IN THE RESIDENCE OF A REAL

**CONTRACTOR** 

#### **·· Table 6.ii: Carbon dioxide emissions due to domestic energy consumption**



Source: BAE estimates of emission factors applied to Table 6.i figures.

#### **Figure 6.ii: Carbon dioxide emissions due to domestic energy consumption**



As should be clear from the discussion on carbon dioxide emissions. a saving of one petajoule of one delivered fuel may not necessarily be as beneficial as a similar saving of another fuel If there were an ideal price structure then price might be a good measure of the relative value of different forms of energy. Clearly, the prices do, at least partially. reflect the values of different fuels - particularly the value of electricity relative to other fuels (see Figure 1 ii). A better measure, however. is one which is related to the amount of primary energy consumed.

For 1989 the primary energy ratio for coal was about 1.02 (secondary solid fuels such as coke and breeze have a much higher primary energy ratio). For gas and oil the ratio was about 1.05 and 1.17 respectively. For electricity the ratio was 3.07 (as noted earlier, the losses have been reducing and so the primary energy ratio for electricity has improved over the years)

The overall average primary energy ratio depends on the losses associated with the individual delivered fuels and the proportions of those fuels actually used. For the domestic sector the 1989 average primary energy ratio was 1.46. For comparison, the ratio in 1977 was 1.53 (see Table 6.iii and Figure 6.iii), so there has been a substantial improvement since then. It is difficult to derive an entirely comparable figure for earlier years because of the different format of the information presented in the Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics for these earlier years. However. the picture for consumption as a whole in 1970 is characterised by the predominance of coal and the relative unimportance of natural gas (as previously indicated by Figure 6.i). In 1970, coal accounted for 47% of the total available primary energy, petroleum 44%, natural gas and colliery methane between them 5%, nuclear electricity 3% and hydro-electricity less than 1%.

It should be noted that there are several complications involved in relating delivered energy to primary energy for electricity. For some end uses it is possible for consumers to save money by switching from using on-peak electricity to off-peak electricity. Such a switch may not involve either an increase or a saving of delivered energy. However, using more offpeak electricity and less on-peak electricity helps to even out the daily demand variations. This means that power stations are able to run more continuously which, in turn, means that the conversion process becomes more efficient. The net effect, therefore, is that primary energy consumption is reduced

#### **Table 6.iii. Primary and delivered energy figures, 1977 and 1989**



Primary energy equivalent to the above



Source: Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics

Note: There are complications in the definition of primary electricity (nuclear and hydro). These are noted in the Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics.

#### **Figure 6.iii. Primary and delivered energy figures, 1977 and 1989**



## **Energy balance of the housing stock**

#### **Notes**

Figure 6.iv illustrates the scale of the housing stock related energy flows for 1989 The figure shows the relationships between primary energy. delivered energy, useful heat and the housing stock heat losses.Some of the figures in the energy balance are known ouite accurately (eg delivered gas) while others are necessarily more uncertain (eg useful heat gains) All numbers are undoubtedly of the right order however

There are five blocks in the diagram

The first block shows that part of the national primary energy consumption which is related to the housing stock.

The amount of energy. and the fuels . actually delivered are shown in the second block. The difference between the first and the second block is the losses associated with conversion, transmission and distribution, as well as the energy used by the energy industries themselves

The third block shows the same delivered energy as the second. augmented by natural gains (solar and metabolic). This block illustrates the end uses of the delivered energy rather than the fuels delivered.

The fourth block shows that part of the total delivered energy which supplies useful heat. A part of the useful heat is supplied directly by the energy delivered for space heating (reduced according to the average efficiency of heating systems). The rest is supplied as incidental heat gains from lights. appliances. cookers, hot water systems and from natural gains. Only a part of the potential incidental heat gains are useful. In summer. for example. a large proportion of incidental gains are deliberately rejected because they would otherwise cause overheating. Even in winter. part of the potential incidental gains are lost. For example, a large part of the potential gains from hot water are lost as water flows away through the drains. Similarly, much of the heat produced by cooking is often lost through extraction to the outside.

The fifth block shows how the useful heat is lost to the external environment through the fabric and ventilation losses associated with the national housing stock. By reducing the losses at the fifth block - through improved insulation the primary energy input shown in the first block can be reduced. This can also be achieved, however. through improvements to other parts of the overall energy balance:

- The losses between the third and fourth block can be reduced through improvements to the efficiencies of heating systems and by recovery of incidental gains which are lost.

- The solar heat gains can be increased by solar design features and by appropriate orientation Such 'passive solar' tecnniques result in a reduced proportion of the useful heat being supplied by the heating system - and. hence, a reduced amount of fuel has to be delivered for space heating.

- A given delivered energy requirement can be supplied from a reduced amount of primary energy by reducing the losses between the first and second block. This can be done, for example. by improving conversion efficiency or by the energy industries reducing the amount of energy which they themselves use

#### . **Figure 6.iv: Energy balance of the housing stock - 1989**



--i:- - -

**TOPS WESTER PRESSURE SHOPLIFT AND SERVICE IN ANY** 

# **References and sources**

-

The following is a list of publications and sources of information which have been referred to within this report. Those marked with a \* were referred to so that the reader would know where to find out more about particular topics which, of necessity, were only briefly touched upon in this report. The rest are statistical sources which have been used in compiling this report (and which are quoted below the individual tables) and in the development of the BREHOMES model. In most cases, several editions of these statistical sources have been consulted in preparing the tables for this report.

~ra;--I *---:·.-* '\

- **1 Department of the Environment, Scottish Development Department, Welsh Office.** Housing and Construction Statistics. Great Britain. HMSO. Published annually.
- 2<sup>\*</sup> Shorrock L D, Henderson G and Bown J H F, BREHOMES: a physically based model of the energy use of the United Kingdom housing stock. Proceedings of Building Simulation '91. Sophia-Antipolis, Nice, France. 1991.
- **3\* Anderson B R, Clark A J, Baldwin R and Milbank N O.**  BREDEM - BRE Domestic Energy Model: background, philosophy and description. BRE Report BR66. 1985.
- **4 Department of the Environment.** English House Condition Survey. HMSO. Published every five years.
- **5 Department of Energy.** Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics. HMSO. Published annually.
- **6 Department of Employment.** Family Expenditure Survey. HMSO. Published annually.
- **7 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys.** General Household Survey. HMSO. Published annually.
- **8 Central Statistical Office.** Annual Abstract of Statistics. HMSO. Published annually.
- 9 G & A Marketing Services Ltd. G & A Home Audit. Home heating and insulation ownership reports produced annually.
- **10\* McNair H P and Shiret A R.** Factors that influence the annual efficiency of domestic wet central heating systems. Gas Engineering and Management. March 1984.
- **11 Electricity Council.** Domestic Sector Analysis. 1976/77 to 1986/7. 1988.
- 12<sup>\*</sup> Hunt D R G and Gidman M I. A National Field Survey of House Temperatures. Building and Environment, 17 (2), pp 107-124, 1982.

A THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH. 49-14039-1-120-2

for the team of the analysis and the

### **Acknowledgement**

The Domestic energy fact file has been prepared for, and with the support of, the Building Stock Research Division of the Department of the Environment. Some parts of the work mentioned have also been supported by the Energy Efficiency Office of the Department of Energy and by the Global Atmosphere Division of the Department of the Environment.

G

×.

 $\sim$ 

Preparation of the Domestic energy fact file has been carried out jointly by the Building Research Establishment and by NBA Tectonics. The contributions made by Hugh Bown's colleagues at NBA Tectonics, and in particular those of Karen Johnston, are gratefully acknowledged.

# **Also available from BAE**

#### **BREDEM - BAE Domestic Energy Model: background, philosophy and description**

B R Anderson, A J Clark, R Baldwin and N 0 Milbank Ref BR66 £9 BRE Report 1985

Describes a procedure for calculating the annual energy requirements of houses. It is designed to be relatively simple to operate, using a calculator or computer. providing realistic results. BREDEM is based on many years' practical experience of what happens in buildings, and represents a synthesis of up-to-date information.

#### **An economic assessment of some energy conservation measures in housing and other buildings**

J Pezzey

Ref BR58 £12 BRE Report 1984 Provides a framework for economic advice and decisions on energy conservation in housing and other buildings. It also describes the various measures and assesses their effectiveness in a range of applications.

#### **Energy assessment for dwellings using BREDEM worksheets**  BR Anderson

BRE Information Paper IP13/88 £2.50 Data are given for a worksheet calculation of energyuse in dwellings using a simplified BREDEM methodology. The worksheet - one copy is included with the Paper - is constructed for use with a handheld calculator and IBM-compatible microcomputers.

#### **Building Regulations: conservation of fuel and power - the 'energy target' method of compliance for dwellings**  BR Anderson

Ref BR150 £10 BRE Report 1989 Details of the Regulations effective in 1990 are presented in worksheet form. The data needed for calculations, sample calculation and blank worksheet are provided. Additional worksheets, packed in 50s, are available under Ref AP47.

#### **Energy efficiency in dwellings**  BRE Digest 355 £3.50

Identifies which factors determine the energy requirements of a dwelling, and describes the methods used to assess energy efficiency. Also looks at levels required by Building Regulations for new dwellings, and improvements to the energy efficiency of existing buildings, including opportunities that arise in major refurbishment.

#### **Energy use in buildings and carbon dioxide emissions**

L D Shorrock and G Henderson

Ref BR170 £25 BRE Report 1990 About half the UK's emission of the major greenhouse gas carbon dioxide can be attributed to buildings, and about 60% of this to dwellings. This Report analyses and reviews evidence of UK emissions, looking also to some extent at the world as a whole, and considers the potential for reducing them.

### **Greenhouse:.gas emissions and buildings in the United Kingdom**

G Henderson and L 0 Shorrock BRE Information Paper IP2/90 £2.50 Explores the relationship between building energy use and the emission of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. Also considers the scope for reducing carbon dioxide emissions through applying energy efficiency measures in existing buildings.

#### **Thermal insulation: avoiding risks**  Ref BR143 £10 BRE Report 1989

Research has shown that improvements in insulation raise problems in other areas. For example, parts of a construction remain colder, encouraging interstitial condensation, and consequent changes in construction may lead to damp penetration. This guide explains how to avoid the potential risks in meeting Building Regulations requirements for thermal insulation in roofs, walls, windows and floors.

Please send order to:

BRE Bookshop Building Research Establishment Garston, Watford, WD2 ?JR

Cheques payable to DOE/BRE Minimum order value £3

